Quantum emulators and scientific research Thomas Ayral Atos Quantum Lab (France)

Thursday, June 13th 2019



Trusted partner for your Digital Journey

Will These Get Quantum 1.0?



2 | Thursday, June 13th 2019 | Thomas Ayral | © Atos



Will These Get Quantum 2.0?





Why quantum hardware is not enough

- "Good qubits": necessary... but not sufficient
- Beyond hardware:

Quantum algorithmics: very few known algorithms...

- how to quickly program+validate new ideas?

NISQ era: before fault-tolerance

- how to **compile** a program for a targeted hardware?
- can we design **noise-resilient** algorithms?
- analog vs digital approaches? ...

Quantum applications: "killer app(s)" yet to be found!

- quantum-classical interface? (variational methods, error mitigation, pre- and post-treatment, parallelism...)

Need for (classical) programming/simulation/optimization tools









Outline

- Modeling quantum processors
- Simulating noisy quantum circuits with classical computers
- Optimizing noisy quantum circuits
- Using quantum processors to solve hard problems? Schwinger model example



Our collaborations

Quantum programming, classical simulation

BPI project Quantex (with Paris Saclay, CNRS-LORIA, CEA-LETI). *Quantum programming, hardware-acceleration for classical simulation of quantum circuits*

ANR SoftQPro (with Paris Saclay, CNRS-LORIA, CEA-LIST). *Numerical simulation of high-level quantum programming languages*.

CIFRE PhD thesis with Supélec/Saclay: *numerical techniques for quantum circuit generation*

Quantum hardware

EU-Flagship project AQTION (with Univ. Innsbruck (Blatt group), Oxford, ETHZ, Mainz, Fraunhofer, Swansea, Toptica). *Programming frontend, compilation, industrial use cases*

Chaire industrielle NASNIQ (with CEA-DRF Quantronics lab). *Computational architecture, noise models* **Merlion project Siliquon qubits** (with CNRS-Néel,

Singapore Institute of Quantum Computing). Noise simulation of Si Qubits

Quantum algorithmics

QUANTERA QuantAlgo (with CNRS-IRIF, CWI-QuSoft, Cambridge, Univ. of Latvia, Univ. Libre de Bruxelles). *Machine Learning, exploration of use cases.*

ANR QuData (with CNRS-IRIF, Paris-Sorbonne, CNRS-LABRI). *Assessment of industrial use cases*.

CIFRE PhD thesis with IRIF: algorithms for *Quantum Machine Learning.*

Analog quantum simulation

EU-Flagship PASQUANS Flagship project (with Institut d'Optique, Univ. Innsbruck (Zoller group), ETHZ, Univ Munich, LKB, Univ Strathclyde, Univ Ulm, Univ Padova, Univ Heidelberg).

WP leader of applications





All qubits are not created equal



Superconducting qubits

- Coupled anharmonic oscillators (Josephson junctions)
- Gates ~ 200 ns, coherence ~ 50 μs: 250 gates, 1-f=0.002
- ~10 entangled qubits, ~50 qbit chips announced
- Limited connectivity
- Limited scalability (need very precise calibration)?



Trapped ions

 Entangle internal degrees of freedom via motional mode



(etc)

Quantum dots

- Entangle electron spins via exchange J
- Gates ~ 50 us, coherence ~ 200 ms: 4k gates, 1-f = 0.05
- All-to-all connectivity
- ~ 15 entangled qubits
- Limited scalability (large crystal: vibration modes...)?
- Alternatives: shuttling...

- 1 and 2-qbit gates demonstrated... not more!
- Leverage CMOS technology: Scalable?

Yet, we want to be able to program them in a hardware-agnostic way.



How to describe noisy quantum computers?

- Quantum processors: fragile quantum systems in classical environment
 - Noise: -> mixed quantum state: **density matrix** ρ (instead of $|\psi\rangle$)
 - $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix instead of 2^n vector! (*n*: number of qbits)

Several approaches to describe noise

- Continuous-time approach: master equation

e.g Lindblad master equation (Markovian noise: memory-less env.)

$$i\frac{d\rho}{dt} = [H,\rho] + i\sum_{n}\gamma_n\left(L_n\rho L_n^+ - \frac{1}{2}\{L_n^+L_n,\rho\}\right)$$

- requires knowledge of γ_n , L_n
- can reduce cost to 2^n : quantum trajectories
- continuous time: not very suitable for optimized compilation:

we would like to adopt discrete description



Building blocks: Noisy gates

- ▶ Quantum state evolution via `quantum channels' or `CPTP maps' $\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\rho)$
 - complete positivity (CP): "unitary total evolution"
 - trace preservation (TP): "no leakage"
- Several representations of quantum channels:
 - Kraus representation:

Pauli transfer matrix (PTM):
$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(\rho)} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \vec{\rho}$$

how to specify noisy gates for a given hardware?

 $\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum E_k \rho E_k^+$

10 | Thursday, June 13th 2019 | Thomas Ayral | \odot Atos



(Chow et al '12)



Discrete modeling of noise



- Modular, hence scalable approach
- Captures large variety of noises (incl. spatially correlated, crosstalk, leakage...)

Caveat: does not capture all memory effects

What do the boxes look like?



Textbook noise models...

• **Bit-flip noise**: $\mathcal{E}(\rho) = (1 - p_F)\rho + p_F X \rho X$ "flip bit with probability p_F " Two Kraus operators: $E_1 = \sqrt{1 - p_F} Id$ and $E_2 = \sqrt{p_F} X$

see e.g. Nielsen & Chuang

Two Kidds operators: $E_1 = \sqrt{1 - p_F}$ and $E_2 = \sqrt{p_F}$

Relaxation (aka amplitude damping) and dephasing:



Challenge: what is p_F , p_R , p_D ... for a given hardware? Are there other important types of noise?



... and more 'ab initio' approaches: tomography

- Characterize *E*(ρ) : "process tomography"
- Two complementary strategies:



Experiment/phenomenology

- Quantum process tomography: 16 circuits for 1-qbit gate, 256 for 2-qbit... (x lots of shots)
- Gateset tomography: consistent treatment of "SPAM" error Merkel et al 2013

Advantage: phenomenological ("black box") approach

Numerics

- Write Hamiltonian model for given operation
- Solve Schrödinger/master equation for all inputs

Advantage: inputs are usually experimentally accessible (microscopic) parameters.





What we want to compute



Both require advanced numerical techniques to reach few tens of qbits



Unitary evolution $|\psi_f\rangle = U_M \dots U_1 |\psi_i\rangle$

"Brute-force" simulation:





Unitary evolution $|\psi_f\rangle = U_M \dots U_1 |\psi_i\rangle$

"Brute-force" simulation:

- store 2^n amplitude vector: $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{b_1..b_N} a_{b_1..b_N} |b_1..b_N\rangle$ $a_{b_1b_2b_3b_4} =$ - up to 40-41 qubits

Stabilizer simulation

- Only "Clifford" gates: can represent state with $O(n^2)$ cost
- can simulate >1000 qubits
- Extensions for Clifford+T...

Gottesman & Knill



Unitary evolution $|\psi_f\rangle = U_M \dots U_1 |\psi_i\rangle$

"Brute-force" simulation:

- store 2^n amplitude vector: $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{b_1..b_N} a_{b_1..b_N} |b_1..b_N\rangle$

 $a_{b_1b_2b_3b_4} =$

up to 40-41 qubits

Stabilizer simulation

- Only "Clifford" gates: can represent state with $O(n^2)$ cost
- can simulate >1000 qubits
- Extensions for Clifford+T...

Gottesman & Knill

Using entanglement structure: Matrix product state (and tensor networks)

MPS representation (4 qbits):



see e.g Schollwöck '10 Orus '14

- physics insights from "bond dimension"
- can simulate \gg 40 qubits



Unitary evolution $|\psi_f\rangle = U_M \dots U_1 |\psi_i\rangle$

"Brute-force" simulation:

- store 2ⁿ amplitude vector: $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{b_1..b_N} a_{b_1..b_N} |b_1..b_N\rangle$ $a_{b_1b_2b_3b_4} =$
- up to 40-41 qubits

Stabilizer simulation

- Only "Clifford" gates: can represent state with $O(n^2)$ cost
- can simulate >1000 qubits
- Extensions for Clifford+T...

Gottesman & Knill

Using entanglement structure: Matrix product state (and tensor networks)

– MPS representation (4 qbits):



see e.g Schollwöck '10 Orus '14

- physics insights from "bond dimension"
- can simulate \gg 40 qubits

Tensor network contraction

space+time network (2 qbits + 4 gates)



19 | Thursday, June 13th 2019 | Thomas Ayral | \odot Atos



Noisy simulation

- Density-matrix evolution: $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \sum_{k_M} \left(E_{k_M}^{(M)} \dots \left(\sum_{k_1} E_{k_1}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\rho} E_{k_1}^{(1)\dagger} \right) \dots E_{k_M}^{(M)\dagger} \right)$ - ~OK for <20 qubits
- More qubits: rewrite as sum over "**trajectories**" $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\rho} = |\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}|) = \sum_{k_{1}..k_{M}} E_{k_{M}}^{(M)} \dots E_{k_{1}}^{(1)} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}| E_{k_{1}}^{(1)\dagger} \dots E_{k_{M}}^{(M)\dagger}$
- One trajectory $k_1 \dots k_M$: apply "gates" $E_{k_1}^{(1)} \dots E_{k_M}^{(M)}$ to $|\psi_0\rangle$
 - Reduction of storage cost... but exponential #trajectories
- Stochastic sampling over all possible trajectories



Speed comparison

Atos QLM vs IBM Qiskit simulator Ideal simulation

'Quantum volume' benchmark circuit



QLM: **qat.linalg** simulator IBM POWER8 benchmark data from www.ibm.com/blogs/research/2018/05/quantum-circuits

Atos QLM vs Google cirq simulator Ideal + noisy simulation H+CNOT circuit



QLM: qat.linalg and qat.noisy simulator







Compilation under connectivity constraints

Concrete example: Quantum Fourier Transform



Compilation for connectivity:



(here: undirected graph)

a3

Large gate count overhead!



Example: Quantum Fourier Transform on 5 noisy qubits



ideal processor noisy processor

20

25

AT(0)

30

Typical noise Decoherence noise only parameters on idle qubits, for IBM perfect gates device Signal 2500 17500 10000 12500 15000 0.7 0.6 compilation, simulation 0.0 0.03 0.3 160 Number of gates 0.2 140 0.02 120 0.1 100 0.01 0.0 80 10 15 5 20 25 60 20 Thursday, June 13th 2019 | Thomas 4 24



Example 1: Minimization of the total idling time

- Start from QFT compiled for connectivity & gateset
- Use commutation patterns to reduce total idling time
- Minimization via (classical) simulated annealing



26 | Thursday, June 13th 2019 | Thomas Ayral | © Atos



Example 2: Error mitigation

Error mitigation: before full-fledged quantum error correction



Software-based methods requiring good control and/or knowledge of hardware



Quasiprobability error mitigation

(Temme et al `17, Endo et at `17)

• Observable: $\langle 0 \rangle = \ll 0 | \mathcal{E}_M \dots \mathcal{E}_1 | \rho \gg \neq \langle 0^{(0)} \rangle = \ll 0^{(0)} | \mathcal{E}_M^{(0)} \dots \mathcal{E}_1^{(0)} | \rho^{(0)} \gg$

with \mathcal{E}_i ($\mathcal{E}_i^{(0)}$): imperfect (perfect) hardware operations

Two steps:

1. Linear decomposition of perfect ops. on hardware ops.:

$$\mathcal{E}_i^{(0)} = \sum_{j_i} q_{j_i}^{(i)} \mathcal{E}_{j_i}$$

Obtain sum over (exponentially many) "trajectories": $\langle O^{(0)} \rangle = \sum_{j_1...j_M} q_{j_1}^{(1)} ... q_{j_M}^{(M)} \ll O | \mathcal{E}_{j_M} ... \mathcal{E}_{j_1} | \rho \gg$

2. Stochastic sampling over trajectories

Caveats:

1) \mathcal{E}_{j_i} should form a basis! (incl. non-unitary ops)

2) q_j not necessarily
positive: "quasiprobabilities" (-> increased variance:
'sign problem')



Example of decomposition

Using gateset tomography data on IBM QX4:







Comparison: noisy simulation vs. experiment

- Example circuit: $-RX[\frac{\pi}{2}]$
- Output state is (supposedly) $\propto (|0\rangle i|1\rangle)$. Observable: $\langle Z \rangle = 0$
- Preliminary results:







Potential applications of NISQ computers

Applications

- Natural target: Quantum simulation (equilibrium and dynamics)
 - quantum chemistry
 - condensed-matter physics $H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$ $i\frac{d|\psi\rangle}{dt} = H(t)|\psi\rangle$

exponential cost with system size (or Monte-Carlo sign problem)



- Minimize cost function... which can be discretized -> Boolean function $C(z_1, ..., z_N)$ (classical Ising Hamiltonian <-> spin glass problems)
- And also (later): factoring (Shor), quantum machine learning, database search (Grover)...



Two approaches to quantum simulation

Analog approach

- Ultra-cold atoms, trapped ions, Rydberg atoms...
 - Good (global) control of a given Hamiltonian
- Superconducting circuits: quantum annealing (d-wave)
 - Find ground state of (classical) Ising model
- Digital approach: gate-based (universal)
 - Superconducting circuits, trapped ions...
 - Long term:
 - **Quantum phase estimation:** find eigenvalue of an eigenvector: $e^{iHt} |\psi\rangle = e^{i\varepsilon t} |\psi\rangle$
 - Requires very deep (long) quantum circuits: state preparation, trotterization...





Hybrid variational algorithms

- NISQ (Noisy intermediate scale quantum) computers:
 - small number of qubits + short coherence times
- Variational Quantum Simulation (VQE, Peruzzo '14, VQS, Kokail '19...)

Goal: find ground state energy of Hamiltonian H

1. Choose (smart) variational ansatz $|\psi_{\vec{\theta}}\rangle$ (with polynomial $\vec{\theta}$)

e.g (unitary) coupled cluster $|\psi_{\vec{\theta}}\rangle \propto e^{i \sum \theta c^+ c + h.c} |\phi_{HF}\rangle$

- 2. Find (short) quantum circuit / hamiltonian that creates $|\psi_{\vec{\theta}}\rangle$
- 3. Measure variational energy $E_{\vec{\theta}} = \langle \psi_{\vec{\theta}} | H_T | \psi_{\vec{\theta}} \rangle$ with $H_T = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}$, P_{α} product of Pauli operators
- 4. Use classical optimizer to find optimal $\vec{\theta}^*$





Applications of variational quantum algorithms

- Quantum chemistry
 - Many small molecules have been studied (H2, LiH, ...)
 - Well-known variational states: unitary coupled cluster (UCC), etc.
- Combinatorial optimization
 - Quantum approximate optimization algorithm (QAOA): special ansatz inspired from quantum annealing
- Focus: Variational quantum algorithms for quantum field theory?
 - Lattice QCD: a gauge theory plagued by Monte-Carlo sign problem in interesting regimes (hot quark-gluon plasma, neutron stars...)
 - Here, take Schwinger model (1+1-dim QED) as proxy for lattice QCD physics



Challenge I: translate problem to quantum computer language

- (Kogut-Susskind) fermions... in spin/qbit-based quantum computer?
 - Jordan-Wigner transformation
- infinite gauge degrees of freedom... in finite-dim quantum computer?
 - Use Gauss law to eliminate gauge d.o.f -> traded for exotic long-range spin-spin interactions
- Final Hamiltonian:

$$H_T = \sum_j \sigma_j^+ \sigma_{j+1}^- + h.c + \frac{m}{2} \sum_j (-)^j \sigma_j^z + \frac{g}{4} \sum_j \left(\sum_{l \le j} \sigma_l^z + (-)^l \right)^2$$

with mass m, coupling g



Challenge II: Experimental realization

Implementation of

$$H_T = \sum_j \sigma_j^+ \sigma_{j+1}^- + h.c + \frac{m}{2} \sum_j (-)^j \sigma_j^z + \frac{g}{4} \sum_j \left(\sum_{l \le j} \sigma_l^z + (-)^l \right)^2$$

- Many recent publications:
 - digital computation with 4 ions (Martinez et al Nature '16), 5 SC qubits (Klco et al PRA '18), ...
 - analog computation with 20 ions (Kokail et al Nature `19)
- **Here**: focus on analog computation
 - Preparation of $|\psi_{\vec{\theta}}\rangle$ with "resource" Hamiltonians H_R
 - Bonus: H_T and H_R share symmetries





Experimental results and simulation







Conclusion: a dedicated platform for quantum simulation and computation

A platform to research and experiment quantum software

The Atos Quantum Learning Machine





A platform to research and experiment quantum software

The Atos Quantum Learning Machine





Atos QLM Customers





Technical University of Denmark



Centre de calcul recherche et technologie











Atos

Thanks

thomas.ayral@atos.net

Atos, the Atos logo, Atos Syntel, Unify, and Worldline are registered trademarks of the Atos group. April 2019. © 2019 Atos. Confidential information owned by Atos, to be used by the recipient only. This document, or any part of it, may not be reproduced, copied, circulated and/or distributed nor quoted without prior written approval from Atos.

