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## Introduction

## Multiparticle-Multihole Configuration Mixing Method (MPMH):

* Method applied in atomic physics and quantum chemistry:
$\rightarrow$ Multi-Configuration Hartree-Fock (MCHF), Multi-Configuration Self-Consistent Field (MCSCF)
$\star$ Based on the determination of a Configuration Interaction (CI) wave function $\boldsymbol{m}$ allows:
- explicit symmetry preservations (particle number, spherical symmetry, Pauli principle),
- indiscriminate treatment of long-range correlations,
- treatment of ground and excited states in even-even, odd-even \& odd-odd nuclei on the same footing.
* The underlying mean-field and the single-particle states evolve with the correlations of the system
$\Rightarrow$ fully self-consistent approach


## Outline

$\downarrow$ Formalism of the MPMH method
$\rightarrow$ role and interpretation of the orbital optimization

- Applications with the Gogny D1S interaction
- Numerical algorithm
$\rightarrow$ doubly iterative convergence process
- Description of even-even sd-shell nuclei
$\rightarrow$ Effect of the orbital optimization on ground and excited states properties: Charge radii, excitation energies, transition probabilities, inelastic electron and proton scattering...
* Towards an "ab-initio" theory
$\rightarrow$ implementation of a chiral interaction: preliminaries
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## MPMH method: Formalism

* Trial wave function $|\Psi\rangle=$ superposition of Slater determinants

$$
|\Psi\rangle=A_{0 p 0 h}\left|\Phi_{0 p 0 h}\right\rangle+\sum_{1 p 1 h} A_{1 p 1 h}\left|\Phi_{1 p 1 h}\right\rangle+\sum_{2 p 2 h} A_{2 p 2 h}\left|\Phi_{2 p 2 h}\right\rangle+\sum_{3 p 3 h} A_{3 p 3 h}\left|\Phi_{3 p 3 h}\right\rangle+\ldots
$$


$+$


$$
\left|\Phi_{0 p 0 h}\right\rangle=\prod_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger}|0\rangle
$$
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Combinatorial growth of the number of configurations $\Rightarrow$ select the most relevant ones
Possible truncation schemes:

- Core + Valence space
$\rightarrow$ defines subspace $\mathcal{P}$ of Hilbert space
- Excitation order (Np-Nh)
- Excitation energy
- etc (symmetry-constrained)
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## MPMH method: Formalism

* Variational principle applied to the energy of the system: $\mathcal{E}[\Psi]=\langle\Psi| \hat{H}|\Psi\rangle=0$

Two coupled equations to solve:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta \mathcal{E}[\Psi]_{/\left\{A_{\alpha}^{*}\right\}}=0 \\
\delta \mathcal{E}[\Psi]_{/\left\{\varphi_{i}^{*}\right\}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note: formalism shown here for a 2-body Hamiltonian
derivations for 2-body density-dependent or 3-body interaction available in C.R., N. Pillet, D. Peña Arteaga \& J.-F. Berger, PRC 93, 024302 (2016).

## MPMH method: Formalism

## 1st variational equation: The mixing coefficients

$$
\delta \mathcal{E}[\Psi] /\left\{A_{\alpha}^{*}\right\}=0 \quad \sum_{\beta} A_{\beta}\left\langle\phi_{\alpha}\right| \hat{H}\left|\phi_{\beta}\right\rangle=E A_{\alpha}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
H
\end{array}\right)(A)=E(A)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ introduces explicit correlations in restricted configuration space $\mathcal{P}$ All types of long-range correlations are treated at the same time:

## Excitation order of the configuration




$$
\left|n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right|=1
$$



Particle-vibration coupling

$$
\left|n_{\alpha}-n_{\beta}\right|=0
$$

RPA

## MPMH method: Formalism

## $\star$ 2nd variational equation: The single-particle states

$\uparrow$ variation of the single-particle states:
$\downarrow 1^{\text {st }}$ order variation of the many-body wave function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i}^{\dagger} \rightarrow e^{i \hat{T}} a_{i}^{\dagger} e^{-i \hat{T}} \Rightarrow \delta a_{i}^{\dagger}=i\left[\hat{T}, a_{i}^{\dagger}\right] \\
T=\text { hermitian 1-body operator }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Note: $\delta \mathcal{E}[\Psi]_{/\left\{\varphi_{i}^{*}\right\}}={ }_{\mathcal{P}}\langle\Psi| \hat{H}|\delta \Psi\rangle+\langle\Psi| \hat{H}|\delta \Psi\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}$

$$
={ }_{\mathcal{P}}\langle\Psi| \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{P}|\delta \Psi\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}+{ }_{\mathcal{P}}\langle\Psi| \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{P}|\delta \Psi\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}+{ }_{\mathcal{P}}\langle\Psi| \hat{P} \hat{H} \hat{Q}|\delta \Psi\rangle_{\mathcal{Q}}+{ }_{\mathcal{Q}}\langle\Psi| \hat{Q} \hat{H} \hat{P}|\delta \Psi\rangle_{\mathcal{P}}
$$

$\rightarrow$ the orbital optimization takes into account the coupling $H_{P Q} / H_{Q P}$ between $P$ and $Q$ spaces (however not $H_{Q Q}$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \mathcal{E}[\Psi] /\left\{\varphi_{i}^{*}\right\}=\langle\Psi|[\hat{H}, \hat{T}]|\Psi\rangle=0>[\hat{h}(\rho), \hat{\rho}]=\hat{G}(\sigma) \\
\text { "Generalized Brillouin condition" }
\end{gathered} \begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Generalized } \\
\text { mean-field } \\
\text { equation }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## MPMH method: Formalism

$$
[\hat{h}(\rho), \hat{\rho}]=\hat{G}(\sigma)
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{i j}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l m}\left(\widetilde{V}_{k m j l} \sigma_{k i m l}-\widetilde{V}_{k i m l} \sigma_{j l k m}\right) \\
& \sigma=\text { two-body correlation matrix } \\
& \sigma_{k i m l}=\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{m}^{\dagger} a_{l} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle \\
& \quad-\left(\rho_{k i} \rho_{l m}-\rho_{k m} \rho_{l i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { correlated } \\
& \text { one-body density } \\
& \rho_{k i}=\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle \\
& \Rightarrow \text { "natural" basis } \\
& \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\text { occupation } \\
\text { numbers }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: Because of the source term $\Rightarrow$ no common eigenbasis for $h(\rho)$ and $\rho \Rightarrow$ which basis do we choose ?

## MPMH method: Formalism

= centroid of one-nucleon separation energies
= "most unambiguous definition of single-particle energies"
(Baranger (1970), Duguet \& Hagen (2012)...)

$$
G_{i j}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l m}\left(\widetilde{V}_{k m j l} \sigma_{k i m l}-\tilde{V}_{k i m l} \sigma_{j l k m}\right)
$$

correlated one-body density

$$
\rho_{k i}=\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \text { "natural" basis }
$$

$\Rightarrow$ occupation $\wedge^{n_{k}} \quad \square$ single-particle orbitals numbers



Note: Because of the source term $\Rightarrow$ no common eigenbasis for $h(\rho)$ and $\rho \Rightarrow$ which basis do we choose ? the mean field $h(\rho)$ is related to the energy while the density $\rho$ contains information on the wave function $\Rightarrow$ single-particle states $=$ natural orbitals= eigenfunctions of the density that satisfies the general mean field equation

## MPMH method: Formalism

## Role of the orbital equation:

I) Consistency between correlations and single-particle picture

$\Gamma_{i j}(\rho)=\sum_{k l}\langle i k| \tilde{V}|j l\rangle \rho_{k l}=\Sigma_{i j}^{(0)}$


- $G(\sigma)=\lim _{t_{2} \rightarrow t_{1}^{+}} \int d t\left[\mathcal{G}^{(1)}\left(t-t_{2}\right), \Sigma^{(d y n)}\left(t_{1}-t\right)\right]$

$\square$
full consistency between mean-field and correlations, which is important to have a fully variational theory (see e.g. "Quantum Theory of Finite systems" by Blaizot and Ripka)

## MPMH method: Formalism

Role of the orbital equation:
II) Partial compensation of the truncation P/Q

- Ex: truncation core/valence space
$\rightarrow$ Without orbital equation:


## Empty

states


## MPMH method: Formalism

## Role of the orbital equation:

II) Partial compensation of the truncation P/Q

- Ex: truncation core/valence space
$\rightarrow$ Without orbital equation:

$$
\rho_{i j}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
=\delta_{i \mathrm{ij}} \text { if } \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \in \text { core } \\
\in[0,1] \text { if } \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \in \text { valence } \\
=0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\rightarrow$ With orbital equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[h[\rho], \rho]=G[\sigma] \Rightarrow \rho_{i j}=\frac{G_{i j}[\sigma]}{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}} } \\
& G_{\hat{i j}}(\sigma)= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l m} \widetilde{V}_{k m j \gamma} \sigma_{k i, m l}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l m} \widetilde{V}_{k i m l} \sigma_{j l, k m} \\
& \in \text { whole basis } \in \text { valence }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Empty <br> states

valence
core


Single-particle energies

$\Rightarrow$ coupling between valence space and rest of the basis.

## MPMH method: Formalism

## Role of the orbital equation:

II) Partial compensation of the truncation P/Q

- Ex: truncation in term of the excitation order NpNh

Orbital transformation: $\quad b_{i}^{\dagger}=e^{i \hat{T}} a_{i}^{\dagger} e^{-i \hat{T}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow\left|\phi^{(f)}\right\rangle & =e^{i T}\left|\phi^{(i)}\right\rangle \\
& =\left|\phi^{(i)}\right\rangle+i \sum_{p h} T_{p h} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{h}\left|\phi^{(i)}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p h p^{\prime} h^{\prime}} T_{p h} T_{p^{\prime} h^{\prime}} a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{h} a_{p^{\prime}}^{\dagger} a_{h^{\prime}}\left|\phi^{(i)}\right\rangle+\ldots \\
\overline{\overline{-a-0}} & \frac{\nabla}{\overline{-0-0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ final reference state $=$ superposition of mpmh excitations on the initial reference state $=$ richer
$\Rightarrow$ should have a higher weight in the correlated wave function than the initial one

## Outline
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## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

* Gogny D1S interaction (Dechargé, Gogny PRC 21, 1568 (1980)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V[\rho]= \sum_{j=1,2}\left(W_{j}+B_{j} P_{\sigma}-H_{j} P_{\tau}-M_{j} P_{\sigma} P_{\tau}\right) e^{-\frac{\left(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\mu_{j}^{2}}} \\
&+t_{3}\left(1+x_{0} P_{\sigma}\right) \delta\left(\vec{r}_{1}-\vec{r}_{2}\right) \rho^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\vec{r}_{1}+\vec{r}_{2}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Density- $\quad+i W_{L S} \vec{\nabla}_{12} \delta\left(\vec{r}_{1}-\overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right) \times \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{12}\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)$
dependent term
(zero-range, $\alpha=1 / 3$ )

$$
+\left(1+2 \tau_{1 z}\right)\left(1+2 \tau_{2 z}\right) \frac{e^{2}}{\left|\overrightarrow{r_{1}}-\overrightarrow{r_{2}}\right|}
$$

## Coulomb

Central part: two gaussians (two ranges $\mu=0.7 \mathrm{fm}$ and $\mu=1.2 \mathrm{fm})$
$\rho$-dependency $\leftrightarrow$ resummation of short range correlations, many-body effects ..

$$
\rightarrow \mathcal{E}[\Psi]=\langle\Psi| \hat{H}[\rho]|\Psi\rangle
$$

## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

$\Rightarrow$ modified coupled equations to solve:
1)

$$
\delta \mathcal{E}[\Psi]_{/ A_{\alpha}^{*}}=0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{\beta} A_{\beta}\left\langle\phi_{\alpha}\right| \hat{H}[\rho]+\hat{\mathcal{R}}[\rho, \sigma]\left|\phi_{\beta}\right\rangle=\lambda A_{\alpha}
$$

- where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}[\rho, \sigma]=\int d^{3} r\langle\Psi| \frac{\delta V[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\vec{r})}|\Psi\rangle \hat{\rho}(\vec{r})$
rearrangement terms
- $\rho$ and $\sigma$-dependency $\Rightarrow$ non-linear equation

2) $\delta \mathcal{E}[\Psi]_{/ \varphi_{i}^{*}}=0 \Leftrightarrow[\hat{h}(\rho, \sigma), \hat{\rho}]=\hat{G}(\sigma)$


- where $h_{i j}(\rho, \sigma)=K_{i j}+\sum_{k l}\langle i k| \widetilde{V}|j l\rangle \rho_{l k}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{k l m n}\langle k l| \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \mid \rho_{j i}}|m n\rangle\langle\Psi| a_{k}^{\dagger} a_{l}^{\dagger} a_{n} a_{m}|\Psi\rangle$
$\Rightarrow$ explicit dependence on $\sigma$
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## MPMH method: Numerical algorithm

The full solution requires a doubly-iterative algorithm:
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## MPMH method: Numerical algorithm

The full solution requires a doubly-iterative algorithm:
large-scale shell-model techniques developped by

Solve 1st equation

```
starting point:
Hartree-Fock
    orbitals
```

                Solve \(2^{\text {nd }}\) equation
        \([\hat{h}(\rho, \sigma), \hat{\rho}]=\hat{G}(\sigma)\)
    \(\Rightarrow\) single-particle orbitals \(\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}\)
    $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Calculation of the densities } \\
& \quad \text { and source term } \\
& \text { - } \rho_{k i}=\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle \\
& \text { - } \sigma_{k i m l}=\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{m}^{\dagger} a_{l} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle \\
& \quad-\left(\rho_{k i} \rho_{l m}-\rho_{k m} \rho_{l i}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow G(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

C.R., N. Pillet, D. Peña Arteaga \& J.-F. Berger, PRC 93, 024302 (2016).

## MPMH method: Numerical algorithm

The full solution requires a doubly-iterative algorithm:
large-scale shell-model techniques developped by E. Caurier (m-scheme)

> starting point: Hartree-Fock orbitals

Solve 1st equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\beta} A_{\beta}\left\langle\phi_{\alpha}\right| \hat{H}[\rho]+\hat{\mathcal{R}}[\rho, \sigma]\left|\phi_{\beta}\right\rangle=\lambda A_{\alpha} \\
\Rightarrow \text { Mixing coefficients }\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solve 2nd equation

$$
[\hat{h}(\rho, \sigma), \hat{\rho}]=\hat{G}(\sigma)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ single-particle orbitals $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Calculation of the densities } \\
& \text { and source term } \\
& \text { - } \rho_{k i}=\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle \\
& \text { - } \sigma_{k i m l}=\langle\Psi| a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{m}^{\dagger} a_{l} a_{k}|\Psi\rangle \\
& \quad-\left(\rho_{k i} \rho_{l m}-\rho_{k m} \rho_{l i}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow G(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

until convergence

## MPMH method: Numerical algorithm

## The full solution requires a doubly-iterative algorithm:

## Solve the $2^{\text {nd }}$ equation:

$$
[\hat{h}(\rho, \sigma), \hat{\rho}]=\hat{G}(\sigma) \Leftrightarrow[\hat{h}(\rho, \sigma)-\hat{Q}(\rho, \sigma), \hat{\rho}]=0
$$

In the natural basis $\hat{\rho}|\mu\rangle=n_{\mu}|\mu\rangle$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{\mu \nu}(\rho, \sigma)=\frac{G_{\mu \nu}(\sigma)}{n_{\mu}-n_{\nu}}, \text { if } n_{\mu} \neq n_{\nu} \\
Q_{\mu \nu}(\rho, \sigma)=0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Rightarrow$ self-consistent single-particle states $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}=$ eigenfunctions of h-Q and $\rho$
$\Rightarrow$ non-linear problem $\Rightarrow$ iterative solution:

| orbitals $\left\{\varphi^{(0)}\right.$ <br> density $\rho^{(0)}$ <br> (from 1st eq.) |
| :--- |$\rightarrow h\left(\rho^{(0)}, \sigma\right)-Q\left(\rho^{(0)}, \sigma\right) \longrightarrow$| orbitals $\left\{\varphi^{(1)}\right\}$ |
| :--- |
| density $\rho^{(1)}$ |$\rightarrow h\left(\rho^{(1)}, \sigma\right)-Q\left(\rho^{(1)}, \sigma\right) \longrightarrow \ldots$

## MPMH method: Numerical algorithm

The full solution requires a doubly-iterative algorithm:

C.R., N. Pillet, D. Peña Arteaga \& J.-F. Berger, PRC 93, 024302 (2016).

## Outline

$\downarrow$ Formalism of the MPMH method
$\rightarrow$ role and interpretation of the orbital optimization
$\downarrow$ Applications with the Gogny D1S interaction

+ Numerical algorithm
$\rightarrow$ doubly iterative convergence process
- Description of even-even sd-shell nuclei
$\rightarrow$ Effect of the orbital optimization on ground and excited states properties: Charge radii, excitation energies, transition probabilities, inelastic electron and proton scattering...
- Towards an "ab-initio" theory
$\rightarrow$ implementation of a chiral interaction: preliminaries


## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

## Framework

- Even-even nuclei with $10 \leqslant(Z, N) \leqslant 18$
- truncation scheme: core of ${ }^{16} \mathbf{O}+$ valence space
- 9 major oscillator shells
Ex: ${ }^{28} S i \rightarrow 12 p-12 h$

| [ $1 \mathrm{f}_{7 / 2}$ | $\ldots 1 \mathrm{f}_{7 / 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $--e^{2 d_{3 / 2}}$ | $\frac{-1 d_{3 / 2}}{-0-0-0-1 d_{5 / 2}}$ |
| $\underbrace{1 p_{1 / 2}}_{\text {Protons }} 1 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ | $\underset{\text { Neutrons }}{1 \mathrm{~s}_{1 / 2}}$ |


nndc.bnl.gov

> Calculation of ground- and excited-state properties:

- Binding and separation energies, charge radii
- Excitation energies
- Magnetic dipole moments and quadrupole spectroscopic moments
- Transition probabilities $B(E 2), B(M 1) \ldots$
= How are these observables impacted by the optimization of orbitals?


## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

Symmetry-preserving scheme
$\Rightarrow$ The information about deformation is contained in the two-body correlation matrices $\sigma$ :



## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

* Source term of the orbital equation: $\begin{aligned} & G(j j(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l m} \tilde{V}_{k m j l} \sigma_{k j i n l}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k l m} \tilde{V}_{k i m l} \sigma_{j l k m} \\ & \in \text { whole basis } \in \text { valence space }\end{aligned}$
$\Rightarrow$ Introduces couplings between the valence space and the rest of the single-particle basis.

At iteration \#1:


## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

* One-body density matrix (neutrons): $\quad$ Representation of $\Delta \rho=\left|\rho-\rho_{H F}^{(0)}\right|$ in the HF basis:

Equation 1 - iteration 1


Equations $1 \& 2$ - iteration 1
After convergence (iteration 22)




## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

## * One-body density matrix (neutrons): $\quad$ Representation of $\Delta \rho=\left|\rho-\rho_{H F}^{(0)}\right|$ in the HF basis:

Equation 1 - iteration 1



Equations $1 \& 2$ - iteration 1



After convergence (iteration 22)



## Application to sd-shell nuclei

## * Convergence of the one-body density matrix (neutrons):

## Iteration 1 <br> COMPARISON OF THE NEUTRON DENSITY FROM EQ. 1 AND 2
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## Iteration 3 <br> COMPARISON OF THE NEUTRON DENSITY FROM EQ. 1 AND 2
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## Iteration 4 <br> COMPARISON OF THE NEUTRON DENSITY FROM EQ. 1 AND 2
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## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

## * Convergence process:

## Global vs local iterations



| \# global <br> iteration | \# of iterations of <br> the orbital equation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 Ne | 28 Ne |
| 1 | 19 | 15 |
| 2 | 20 | 15 |
| 3 | 18 | 15 |
| 4 | 17 | 12 |
| 5 | 16 | 11 |
| 6 | 13 | 9 |
| 7 | 12 | 8 |
| 8 | 11 | 7 |
| 9 | 9 | 6 |
| 10 | 8 | 5 |
| 11 | 7 | 4 |
| 12 | 6 | 4 |
| 13 | 6 | 4 |
| 14 | 5 | 3 |
| 15 | 5 | 3 |
| 16 | 4 | 2 |
| 17 | 4 | 2 |
| 18 | 3 | 1 |
| 19 | 2 | 1 |
| 20 | 2 | 1 |
| 21 | 1 |  |
| 22 | 1 |  |

## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

## * Effect on the many-body wave function:

Orbital transformation: $\quad b_{i}^{\dagger}=e^{i \hat{T}} a_{i}^{\dagger} e^{-i \hat{T}}$

$\Longrightarrow\left|\Psi^{(f)}\right\rangle=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^{(f)}} A_{\alpha}^{(f)}\left|\phi_{\alpha}^{(f)}\right\rangle$

$$
=\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{P}^{(i)}} A_{\beta}^{(i)}\left|\phi_{\beta}^{(i)}\right\rangle+\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}^{(i)}} A_{\beta}^{(i)}\left|\phi_{\beta}^{(i)}\right\rangle \text { How big? }
$$

|  | 1st equation only $^{2}$ |  | 1st $2^{\text {nd }}$ equations <br> Starting from HF orbitals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nucleus | Weight of P() | Weight of Q0 | Weight of P() | Weight of Q0) |
| 20 Ne | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 24 Mg | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $28 S \mathrm{Si}$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| 32 S | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| 28 Ne | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
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## * Effect on the many-body wave function:

Orbital transformation: $\quad b_{i}^{\dagger}=e^{i \hat{T}} a_{i}^{\dagger} e^{-i \hat{T}}$
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|  | 1 st equation only |  | $1^{\text {st }}+2^{\text {nd }}$ equations <br> Starting from HF orbitals |  | $1^{\text {st }}+2^{\text {nd }}$ equations <br> Starting from HO orbitals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nucleus | Weight of P() | Weight of Q0 | Weight of P() | Weight of Q ${ }^{(0)}$ | Weight of P() | Weight of Q ${ }^{(0)}$ |
| ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}$ | 100\% | 0\% | 98\% | 2\% | 66\% | 34\% |
| ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Mg}$ | 100\% | 0\% | 97\% | 3\% | 61\% | 39\% |
| 28Si | 100\% | 0\% | 95\% | 4\% | 55\% | 45\% |
| 32 S | 100\% | 0\% | 93\% | 7\% | 61\% | 39\% |
| ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Ne}$ | 100\% | 0\% | 85\% | 15\% | 78\% | 22\% |

The weight of the initial $Q$ space increases when starting further from the final solution

## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

* Effect on the many-body wave function: Orbital transformation: $\quad b_{i}^{\dagger}=e^{i \hat{T}} a_{i}^{\dagger} e^{-i \hat{T}}$

| Pure Hartree-Fock component in correlated ground state |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nucleus | $1^{\text {st }}$ equation only | $1^{\text {st }}+2^{\text {nd }}$ equations |
| 26 Ne | $71 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 28 Si | $60 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 32 S | $58 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 34 S | $39 \%$ | $17 \%$ |



- Pure HF component decreases: self-consistent procedure appears to fragment the wave function
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Reference state built on optimized orbitals

- "better" than HF state
* Correlation energies: $\quad E_{c o r r}=E(\Psi)-E\left(\Phi_{H F}^{(0)}\right)$

| Correlation energy Ecorr (MeV) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nucleus | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ equation only | $1{ }^{\text {st }}+2^{\text {nd }}$ equations | $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {corr }}$ |
| ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Ne}$ | 1.17 | 1.59 | 0.42 |
| ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Ne}$ | 7.32 | 8.46 | 1.14 |
| ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Ne}$ | 5.75 | 6.98 | 1.23 |
| ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}$ | 10.48 | 12.12 | 1.64 |
| ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Ne}$ | 10.93 | 13.30 | 2.37 |


| Correlation energy $\mathrm{E}_{\text {corr }}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nucleus | 1st $^{\text {equation only }}$ | $1^{\text {st }}+2^{\text {nd }}$ equations | $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{E}_{\text {corr }}$ |
| 28 S | 8.05 | 10.05 | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ |
| 30 S | 0.59 | 2.06 | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7}$ |
| 32 S | 2.82 | 5.22 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 0}$ |
| 34 S | 4.27 | 5.62 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 5}$ |

## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

†Charge radii:


$\left\langle\Delta r_{c}\right\rangle=0.021 \mathrm{fm} \rightarrow 0.018 \mathrm{fm}$

- Standard deviation:
$\sigma\left(\Delta r_{c}\right)=0.017 \mathrm{fm} \rightarrow 0.018 \mathrm{fm}$
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## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

Excitation energies:
${ }^{30} \mathrm{~S}$ and ${ }^{30} \mathrm{Si}$ :
$\mathrm{T}=0$ component of the Gogny force
(lack of tensor term, Pillet et al. PRC 85, 044315 (2012))


$$
\text { All }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\Delta E^{*}\right\rangle=373 \mathrm{keV} \\
\sigma\left(\Delta E^{*}\right)=517 \mathrm{keV}
\end{array}\right.
$$

${ }^{30} \mathrm{~S} \&{ }^{30} \mathrm{Si} \quad \int\left\langle\Delta E^{*}\right\rangle=226 \mathrm{keV}$
excluded $\quad \sigma\left(\Delta E^{*}\right)=214 \mathrm{keV}$


$$
\text { All }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\Delta E^{*}\right\rangle=235 \mathrm{keV} \\
\sigma\left(\Delta E^{*}\right)=323 \mathrm{keV}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
{ }^{30} \mathrm{~S} \&{ }^{30} \mathrm{Si} \quad \int\left\langle\Delta E^{*}\right\rangle=142 \mathrm{keV}
$$

$$
\text { excluded }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma\left(\Delta E^{*}\right)=122 \mathrm{keV}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

## Transition probabilities B(E2)





- Trends overall well reproduced
- But clear lack of collectivity due to the restricted valence space
- Positive but small effect from the optimization of orbitals (factor 1.7 in ${ }^{30} \mathrm{Si}, 1.3$ in ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ \& ${ }^{32} \mathrm{~S}$ )

No effective charges

## Application to sd-shell nuclei with the Gogny force

$\Rightarrow$ Electron inelastic scattering on discrete states




With optimized states:

- Small increase of the magnitude
- Improvement of the trend at high q
$\Rightarrow$ Proton inelastic scattering on discrete states

In the framework of the DWBA, with optical and transition potentials calculated using transition densities from MPMH

In collaboration with M. Dupuis, CEA,DAM,DIF


## Conclusion from the study with Gogny

* First implementation of the fully self-consistent multiparticle-multihole configuration mixing method
$\downarrow$ Construction of a general mean-field and orbitals consistent with the correlation of the system, complete convergence reached.
$\downarrow$ Effect of orbital optimization always positive.
With single valence shell: large impact on the ground-state wave function, but small effect on the transition probabilities...
$\Rightarrow$ solve orbital equation for each state
$\Rightarrow$ try truncation schemes involving larger single-particle spaces (excitation order, excitation energy, symmetry-constrained combinations etc.)
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But:

- The D1S Gogny interaction is in principle not adapted (double counting of correlations...), and
$\uparrow$ can lead to divergent behaviors when enlarging the valence space due to the zero-range spin-orbit and $\rho$-dependent terms. See e.g. study of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ :
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## Conclusion from the study with Gogny

* First implementation of the fully self-consistent multiparticle-multihole configuration mixing method
$\downarrow$ Construction of a general mean-field and orbitals consistent with the correlation of the system, complete convergence reached.
$\downarrow$ Effect of orbital optimization always positive.
With single valence shell: large impact on the ground-state wave function, but small effect on the transition probabilities...
$\Rightarrow$ solve 0
$\Rightarrow$ try trur (excitat
* But:
- The D1S Goo adapted (dol
$\uparrow$ can lead to d the valence sp and $\rho$-dependent terms. See e.g. study of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ :

Oћ $\omega$ space
collectivity $\mathbf{X}$, excitation energies , overbinding $\sim 6 \mathrm{MeV}$
$N \hbar \omega$ space

## Need a better suited interaction

- fully finite-range, better constrained Gogny interaction with tensor (see Nathalie Pillet's talk)
or
- interaction derived from chiral EFT (here)
collectivity $\sqrt{ }$, excitation energies $\$, overbinding $\sim 60 \mathrm{MeV}$ !



## Outline

$\downarrow$ Formalism of the MPMH method
$\rightarrow$ role and interpretation of the orbital optimization

- Applications with the Gogny D1S interaction
* Numerical algorithm
$\rightarrow$ doubly iterative convergence process
- Description of even-even sd-shell nuclei
$\rightarrow$ Effect of the orbital optimization on ground and excited states properties: Charge radii, excitation energies, transition probabilities, inelastic electron and proton scattering...
$\checkmark$ Towards an "ab-initio" theory
$\rightarrow$ implementation of a chiral interaction: preliminaries


## Application of the MPMH method with a chiral interaction

- In MPMH, have to do the CI diagonalization and calculation of the mean field at each iteration
$\rightarrow$ use matrix elements (e.g. in HO basis) as only
input would be very inefficient
$\rightarrow$ need potential in coordinate space and ideally Gaussians
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## Application of the MPMH method with a chiral interaction

* Chiral interaction at leading order with Gaussian regulators:
cut-off $R_{0}=1 \mathrm{fm}$
- contact term:

$$
V_{\text {contact }}^{L O}(r)=\left(C_{S}+C_{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\right) \times \underbrace{\left.\alpha e^{-\left(r / R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)}_{\text {regulator }}
$$

- long-range one-pion exchange:


$$
W_{S}^{(0)}(r)=\frac{M_{\pi}^{3}}{12 \pi}\left(\frac{g_{A}}{2 F_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{e^{-M_{\pi} r}}{M_{\pi} r}
$$

tensor isospin term:

$$
W_{T}^{(0)}(r)=\frac{M_{\pi}^{3}}{12 \pi}\left(\frac{g_{A}}{2 F_{\pi}}\right)^{2} \frac{e^{-M_{\pi} r}}{M_{\pi} r}\left(1+\frac{3}{M_{\pi} r}+\frac{3}{\left(M_{\pi} r\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

$\rightarrow$ Yukawa or Yukawa-like x Gaussians

## Application of the MPMH method with a chiral interaction

* Strategy: fit the regularized Yukawa or Yukawa-like functions to a sum of Gaussians

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{S, r e g}^{(0)}(r) \propto \frac{e^{-M_{\pi} r}}{r} \times\left(1-e^{-\left(r / R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2} \simeq \sum_{i} a_{i}^{S} e^{-\left(r / b_{i}^{S}\right)^{2}} \\
W_{T, \text { reg }}^{(0)}(r) \propto \frac{e^{-M_{\pi} r}}{r}\left(1+\frac{3}{M_{\pi} r}+\frac{3}{\left(M_{\pi} r\right)^{2}}\right) \times\left(1-e^{-\left(r / R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2} \simeq \sum_{i} a_{i}^{T} e^{-\left(r / b_{i}^{T}\right)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

to use the machinery already developed in the original code for the Gogny interaction

## Application of the MPMH method with a chiral interaction

$\rightarrow$ Central term:


Courtesy of I. Tews

Very preliminary!

$$
D=\frac{M_{\pi}^{3}}{12 \pi}\left(\frac{g_{A}}{2 F_{\pi}}\right)^{2}
$$

## Application of the MPMH method with a chiral interaction

Very preliminary!
$D=\frac{M_{\pi}^{3}}{12 \pi}\left(\frac{g_{A}}{2 F_{\pi}}\right)^{2}$
$\rightarrow$ Tensor term:


Courtesy of I. Tews


## Application of the MPMH method with a chiral interaction

$\rightarrow$ Test for the central term:
Use the relation $\frac{e^{-M_{\pi} r}}{r}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d X e^{-r^{2} X^{2}-M_{\pi}^{2} / 4 X^{2}} \quad$ (exact)
to do the exact integration of the central term and check the accuracy of the Gaussian fit


* Average difference:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\Delta \tilde{V}\rangle=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\{i j k l\}=1}^{N}\left|\tilde{V}_{i j k l}^{\text {exact }}-\tilde{V}_{i j k l}^{f i t}\right| \\
& =2.10 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \text { * standard deviation: } \\
& s=\sqrt{\left\langle\Delta \widetilde{V}^{2}\right\rangle-\langle\Delta \widetilde{V}\rangle^{2}} \\
& =1.20 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ impact on observables to be investigated

## Application of the MPMH method with a chiral interaction

## To do next:

$\star$ Finish the implementation of the tensor term

* Implement the next orders: NLO, N2LO
$\rightarrow$ finite range spin-orbit
$\rightarrow$ three-body interaction
$\star$ Check convergence of the results with respect to the cut-off and the size of the single-particle basis ..
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## Thank you!
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