

Shape coexistence in $N \approx Z$ nuclei around $A \approx 70$



Andreas Görgen Department of Physics University of Oslo, Norway andreas.gorgen@fys.uio.no

ESNT Workshop Shape Coexistence and Electric Monopole Transitions in Atomic Nuclei Saclay 23.-27. October 2017 Some history...

- Iow-lying 0⁺₂ states in ⁷⁰Ge and ⁷²Ge interpreted as shape coexistence between spherical vibrational and deformed rotational states K. W. C. Stewart, B. Castel, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 4, 589 (1970)
- Observation of a low-lying 0⁺₂ state in ⁷²Se by in-beam conversion electron spectroscopy W.G. Wyckoff, J.E. Draper, PRC 8, 796 (1973)





 distortion of ground-state band at low spin in ⁷²Se explained by shape coexistence and mixing J.H. Hamilton et al., PRL 32, 239 (1974)

➢ lifetime measurement for 0^+_2 state in ⁷²Se ⇒ $\rho^2(E0)$ A.V. Ramayya et al, PRC12, 1360 (1975)



Experimental results for Ge isotopes

- Coulomb excitation of stable Ge isotopes B(E2) values and $Q_s(2_1^+)$ (reorientation) R.Lecomte et al., PRC 22, 1530 (1980)
- (p,t) and (t,p) pair transfer reactions cross sections and angular distributions
 F. Guilbault et al., PRC 16, 1840 (1977)







Systematics for Ge isotopes



combining quadrupole moments and transfer cross sections

- \succ transition from spherical to deformed ground state with increasing N
- ▶ reduced cross section for $0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+$ for ⁷²Ge \leftrightarrows ⁷⁴Ge
- ▶ enhanced cross section for $0_1^+ \rightarrow 0_2^+$ where configurations cross

Electric monopole strengths

Iow-lying 0⁺₂ states and strong E0 transitions observed throughout the region



Deformed shell gaps and potential energies



neutron single-particle energies (SLy6) \geq

potential energy surfaces (Gogny D1S)

http://www-phynu.cea.fr/

prolate and oblate shapes

30°

15°

γ

0°



Andreas Görgen ESNT Shape Coexistence Saclay 23.-27.10.2017

Band mixing



extrapolated

experimental

0+



Systematics of the light krypton isotopes

Second-order effects in Coulomb excitation



 $d\sigma / d\Omega \pmod{(mb/sr)}$

400

300

200

100

0

0

30

60

 θ_{CM} (deg)

90 120 150 180

intermediate state another magnetic substate

"reorientation effect"

$$b_{i \to f}^{(2)} \propto \langle I_f | M(\sigma \lambda) | I_f \rangle \langle I_f | M(\sigma \lambda) | I_i \rangle$$

 I_{f}

 I_i

Multi-step Coulomb excitation of ⁷⁴Kr and ⁷⁶Kr at GANIL/SPIRAL

- $\succ \gamma$ yields as function of scattering angle: differential cross section
- least squares fit of ~ 30 matrix elements (transitional and diagonal)
- > experimental spectroscopic data
 - Ifetimes, branching and mixing ratios



 2^+ 200

600

400

200

1000

216 Um

400 µm.

1500

1000

500

300

61

18 Um

60

40

20

40

7.5 µm.

20 Um

00 µm

Prolate – oblate shape coexistence



Andreas Görgen ESNT Shape Coexistence Saclay 23.-27.10.2017 K=2

1125

253

452

 γ vibration

31

 0^+_1

Shape transition in the light krypton isotopes



Configuration mixing calculations



Difference #2: generator coordinates

axial quadrupole deformation $q_0 \iff$ (exact GCM formalism)

- good agreement for in-band B(E2) and quadrupole moments
- ➤ wrong ordering of states: oblate ground-state shape for ⁷²Kr → ⁷⁸Kr
 ➤ K=2 states outside model

Difference #1: effective interaction

Skyrme SLy6⇔Gogny D1SBender et al.Girod et al.

very similar single-particle energies \Rightarrow no big differences on the mean-field level

M. Girod et al., PLB 676, 39 (2009)

triaxial quadrupole deformation $q_{0'} q_2$ Euler angles $\Omega = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ \Rightarrow 5-dimensional collective Hamiltonian (Gaussian overlap approximation)

- excellent agreement for excitation energies, B(E2), and quadrupole moments
- inversion of ground-state shape from prolate in ⁷⁶Kr to oblate in ⁷²Kr reproduced
- assignment of prolate, oblate, and K=2 states
- ⇒ triaxiality seems to be important to describe prolate-oblate shape coexistence in this region

Quadrupole sum rules

method to determine the **intrinsic shape** (also for 0^+)

rotate electric quadrupole tensor into principal axis frame:

- \Rightarrow only two non-zero quadrupole moments
- \Rightarrow two parameters (Q, δ) in analogy with Bohr's parameters (β, γ)

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(E2,\mu=0) &= Q\cos\delta\\ \mathcal{M}(E2,\mu=\pm1) &= 0\\ \mathcal{M}(E2,\mu=\pm2) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Q\sin\delta \end{aligned}$

zero-coupled products of the E2 operators are rotationally invariant:

$$\langle s|[E2 \times E2]_0|s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}Q^2 = \frac{(-1)^{2s}}{\sqrt{2s+1}} \sum_t \langle s||E2||t\rangle \langle t||E2||s\rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 & 0\\ s & s & t \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\langle s|[[E2\times E2]_2\times E2]_0|s\rangle = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}Q^3\cos(3\delta) = \frac{1}{2s+1}\sum_{tu}\langle s||E2||t\rangle\langle t||E2||u\rangle\langle u||E2||s\rangle \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 & 2\\ s & t & u\end{array}\right\}$$

D. Cline, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 36, 683 (1986)

model-independent method to determine the intrinsic quadrupole shape from a set of E2 matrix elements

Example for ⁷⁴Kr, ⁷⁶Kr



experimental B(E2; \downarrow) [e^{2} fm⁴]

$$\sum_{t} \langle s || E2 || t \rangle \langle t || E2 || s \rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ s & s & t \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\sum_{tu} \langle s||E2||t\rangle \langle t||E2||u\rangle \langle u||E2||s\rangle \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 2 & 2\\ s & t & u \end{array} \right\}$$

needs complete set of matrix elements \Rightarrow usually only feasible for 0⁺ states





Matrix elements from Coulomb excitation

$$|0_{1}^{+}\rangle = a_{0}|0_{p}^{+}\rangle + b_{0}|0_{o}^{+}\rangle \qquad |2_{1}^{+}\rangle = a_{2}|0_{p}^{+}\rangle + b_{2}|0_{o}^{+}\rangle |0_{2}^{+}\rangle = -b_{0}|0_{p}^{+}\rangle + a_{0}|0_{o}^{+}\rangle \qquad |2_{2}^{+}\rangle = -b_{2}|0_{p}^{+}\rangle + a_{2}|0_{o}^{+}\rangle a_{0}^{2} + b_{0}^{2} = 1 \qquad a_{2}^{2} + b_{2}^{2} = 1$$

4 equations:

$$\langle 2_{1}^{+} | E2 | 0_{1}^{+} \rangle = b_{0} b_{2} \langle 2_{o}^{+} | E2 | 0_{o}^{+} \rangle + a_{0} a_{2} \langle 2_{p}^{+} | E2 | 0_{p}^{+} \rangle$$

$$\langle 2_{1}^{+} | E2 | 0_{2}^{+} \rangle = a_{0} b_{2} \langle 2_{o}^{+} | E2 | 0_{o}^{+} \rangle - a_{2} b_{0} \langle 2_{p}^{+} | E2 | 0_{p}^{+} \rangle$$

$$\langle 2_{2}^{+} | E2 | 0_{1}^{+} \rangle = a_{2} b_{0} \langle 2_{o}^{+} | E2 | 0_{o}^{+} \rangle - a_{0} b_{2} \langle 2_{p}^{+} | E2 | 0_{p}^{+} \rangle$$

$$\langle 2_{2}^{+} | E2 | 0_{2}^{+} \rangle = a_{0} a_{2} \langle 2_{o}^{+} | E2 | 0_{o}^{+} \rangle + b_{0} b_{2} \langle 2_{p}^{+} | E2 | 0_{p}^{+} \rangle$$

4 unknowns:

2 mixing amplitudes: a_0, a_2

$$Q_{0,p} = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} \langle 2_{p}^{+} | E2 | 0_{p}^{+} \rangle$$
$$Q_{0,o} = \sqrt{\frac{16\pi}{5}} \langle 2_{o}^{+} | E2 | 0_{o}^{+} \rangle$$

no transitions between intrinsic prolate and oblate states:

 $\left< I_p^+ |E2| J_o^+ \right> = 0$

using experimental coulex matrix elements for ⁷⁴Kr:

$$a_{0}^{2} = 0.48(2)$$

$$a_{2}^{2} = 0.82(20)$$

$$Q_{0,p} = 3.62(48) \ eb$$

$$Q_{0,o} = -0.66(86) \ eb$$
E. Clément et al.,
PRC 75, 054313 (2007)
$$a_{0,o} = -\frac{100}{74} \int_{0.0}^{100} \int_{0.0$$

consistent with mixing obtained from E0 transitions

100

⁷²Kr

oblate

 $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ measurements for ⁷²Kr



Andreas Görgen ESNT Shape Coexistence Saclay 23.-27.10.2017



Shape coexistence in light Selenium isotopes



The shape of ⁷⁰Se



- Coulomb excitation at ISOLDE
- ➢ ⁷⁰Se on ¹⁰⁴Pd at 2.94 MeV/u
- integral measurement
- depends on B(E2) and Q_s
- one measurement, two unknowns !

A.M. Hurst et al., PRL 98, 072501 (2007)





Shape evolution in the light Selenium isotopes



intermediate-energy Coulomb excitation MSU $B(E2; 2_1^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+) = 432(58) e^2 \text{fm}^4$







β

K. Kaneko et al., PRC 92, 044331 (2015)

Beyond N = Z: Se

- ⁹Be(⁶⁸Se,⁶⁶Se) @ 78 MeV
- two-nucleon knockout @ MSU
- $\geq 2_1^+$ identified



A. Obertelli et al., Phys. Lett. B 701, 417 (2011)

- > β -decay tagging @ Jyväskylä
- candidates for ground-state band up to 6⁺



P. Ruotsalainen et al., Phys.Rev. C 88, 041308 (2013)



- PES (Gogny D1S) suggests vanishing barrier between oblate and prolate minima
- $\succ \gamma$ softness
- more experimental data needed

Beyond N = Z: Kr

- ➢ ⁴⁰Ca(³²S,2n)⁷⁰Kr
- β-decay tagging Jyväskylä
- candidates for 2⁺ and 4⁺ states



D.M. Debenham et al., PRC 94, 054311 (2016)





counts / 20 keV

spectroscopy following knockout @ RIKEN

T. Arici, PhD Univ. Giessen (2017)





spectroscopy following knockout @ RIKEN

T. Arici, PhD Univ. Giessen (2017)



Coulomb excitation of ⁷⁰Kr



comparison with theory:

- > 5DCH: Gogny D1S, $Q_{20} + Q_{22}$, GCM + GOA
- SCCM (symmetry-conserving configuration mixing) Gogny D1S, $Q_{20} + Q_{22}$, exact spin and particle-number restauration

T.R. Rodriguez, PRC 90, 034306 (2014)





Questions / Discussion

- Do we understand ⁷²Kr?
 - oblate ground state shape that turns prolate already for 2⁺?
 - remarkable agreement for Gogny 5DCH for ⁷⁶Kr, ⁷⁴Kr, (⁷⁰Kr) but less for ⁷²Kr?
- \succ Is ⁶⁸Se the best case for shape coexistence in this region (two rotational bands)?
- > Why are there no low-lying 0^+_2 states in ⁶⁸Se and ⁷⁰Se?
- Do we understand the large B(E2) value in ⁷⁰Kr? (or the low B(E2) value in ⁷⁰Se)
- Which experimental measurements should we focus on?