# Low-energy excitations to quasielastic scattering





Vishvas Pandey Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium



Two-body current contributions in neutrino-nucleus scattering, ESNT, CEA-Saclay , 18-22 April 2016

1/26

## **Quasielastic scattering**





 $\varepsilon_f \kappa_f$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\omega_e d\Omega} \end{pmatrix}_e = \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^4} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{2J_i + 1} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k_f E_i} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\omega_\nu d\Omega} \end{pmatrix}_\nu = \frac{G_F^2 \cos^2\theta_c}{(4\pi)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{2J_i + 1} \end{pmatrix} \varepsilon_f \kappa_f \\ \times \zeta^2 (Z', E_f, q_e) \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{L,e}^J + \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{T,e}^J \end{bmatrix} \qquad \times \zeta^2 (Z', \varepsilon_f, q_\nu) \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{L,\nu}^J + \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{T,\nu}^J \end{bmatrix} \\ \sigma_{L,e} = v_e^L R_e^L \qquad \sigma_{CL,\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} v_\nu^{\mathcal{M}} R_\nu^{\mathcal{M}} + v_\nu^{\mathcal{L}} R_\nu^{\mathcal{L}} + 2 v_\nu^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}} R_\nu^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \sigma_{T,e} = v_e^T R_e^T \qquad \sigma_{T,\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} v_\nu^T R_\nu^T \pm 2 v_\nu^{TT} R_\nu^{TT} \end{bmatrix}$$

|--|



Introduction	Formalism	Results	3/26

# Formalism: HF-CRPA approach

- We start by describing the nucleus with a Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation. The meanfield (MF) potential is obtained by solving the HF equations and using a Skyrme (SkE2) two-body interaction.
- Once we have bound and continuum singlenucleon wave functions, we introduce longrange correlations between the nucleons through a continuum Random Phase Approximation (CRPA).
- RPA equations are solved using a Green's function approach.



	n	t	r	0	d	U	С	ti	0	

# Formalism: HF-CRPA approach

 The Skyrme (SkE2) nucleon-nucleon interaction, which was used in the HF calculations, is also used to perform CRPA calculations. That makes our approach self-consistent.

$$\Pi^{(RPA)}(x_1, x_2; E_x) = \Pi^{(0)}(x_1, x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x, x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x_2; E_x) + \frac{1}{4} \int dx dx' \Pi^0(x_1, x; E_x) \tilde{V}(x', x') \Pi^{(RPA)}(x', x') \tilde{V}(x', x') \tilde{V}(x$$

 The effects of final state interactions (FSI) of the ejected nucleon with the residual nucleus, the distortion of the ejected nucleon waves, are taken into account.

			_
Introduction	Formalism	Results	5/26

# Formalism: Folding procedure

- A limitation of RPA formalism at lower energies:
  - $\rightarrow$  energy position of the giant resonances is generally well predicted
  - $\rightarrow$  width is underestimated
  - $\rightarrow$  height is overestimated



Introduction Formalism Results	6/2
--------------------------------	-----

6

# Formalism: Relativistic corrections

Non-relativistic model → higher energies (~GeV) → problem!



Formalism Results	
-------------------	--

# Formalism: Regularization of the residual interaction

- SkE2 interaction  $\rightarrow$  optimized against ground-state and low-excitation energy properties
  - $\rightarrow$  at higher Q<sup>2</sup>, unrealistically strong!

With  $\Lambda$  = 455 MeV, optimized with a  $\chi^2$  fitting of theory-experiment comparison from low  $\omega$  up to the QE peak, over broad set of available data on A(e,e') scattering.

Introduction

 $V(Q^2) = V(Q^2 = 0) \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2})^2}$ 



# Formalism: Coulomb correction for outgoing lepton

- Effect of coulomb potential of the nucleus on the charged lepton:
  - → Low energies: Fermi function  $F(Z', E) = \frac{2\pi\eta}{1 e^{-2\pi\eta}}$   $\eta \sim \mp Z' \alpha$



Introduction Formalism Results

# Comparison with electron scattering data: <sup>12</sup>C (e,e')



Introduction Formalism Results 10/26

# Comparison with electron scattering data: <sup>12</sup>C (e,e')



Introduction Formalism	Results	11/26
------------------------	---------	-------

# Comparison with electron scattering data: <sup>12</sup>C (e,e')



|--|

# Longitudinal and Transverse structure for <sup>12</sup>C (e,e')

HF CRPA





	Introduction Formalism	Results	13/26
--	------------------------	---------	-------

# Comparison with electron scattering data: <sup>16</sup>O (e,e')



	Introduction	Formalism	Results	14/26
--	--------------	-----------	---------	-------

# Comparison with electron scattering data: <sup>26</sup>Ca (e,e')



Good overall agreement with electron scattering data on variety of nuclear target (<sup>12</sup>C,<sup>16</sup>O, <sup>26</sup>Ca) from low-energy excitations to the QE region, validates the reliability of our approach.

Introduction Formalism	tesults 15/26
------------------------	---------------



Introduction	Formalism	Results	16/26

# Comparison with MiniBooNE $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{u}}$ 'CCQE-like' data



Introduction	Formalism	Results	17/26

# Comparison with T2K $v_{\mu}$ inclusive QE data

HF CRPA



Introduction   Formalism	Results
--------------------------	---------

#### Total cross section: comparison with MiniBooNE and T2K CCQE data



Introduction	Formalism	Results	19/2

### Impact of low-energy excitations: Forward scattering (fixed energy)



Introduction Formalism	Results	20/26
------------------------	---------	-------

#### Impact of low-energy excitations: Forward scattering (CL vs T)



Introduction   Formalism	Results	21

## Impact of low-energy excitations: CRPA vs RgFG

Example from <sup>12</sup>C(e,e')



Introduction	Formalism	Results	22/26
	-		

## Impact of low-energy excitations: Forward scattering (flux-folded)

- > Significant contribution is expected from low-energy nuclear excitation ( $\omega < 50$  MeV).
- A quantitative analysis is underprogress.



Introduction	Formalism	Results	23/20



Introduction Formalism	Results	24/26
------------------------	---------	-------



Introduction	Formalism	Results	25/26

# Summary

- We present a CRPA approach for QE electron- and neutrino-nucleus scatterings. The model is validated against electron scattering data.
- We compare flux-folded neutrino-nucleus cross-section predictions with MiniBooNE and T2K experimental measurements. Our calculations successfully describe the gross feature of the measurements but underestimate the data. Missing strength can be associated to the processes beyond QE (np-nh, pion production, etc.), not present in our description.

#### Impact of low energies

- We draw special attention to the contributions emerging from low-energy nuclear excitations ( $\omega < 50 \text{ MeV}$ ), which remain inaccessible in the RFG-based Models, especially for forward scatterings.
- We compare electron-neutrino and muon-neutrino cross sections, relevant for  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$  oscillation studies, we observed some non-trivial behavior at low energies.

#### References

- [1] V. Pandey, N. Jachowicz, J. Ryckebusch, T. Van Cuyck, W. Cosyn, Phys.Rev. C89, 026601 (2), 2014.
- [2] V. Pandey, N. Jachowicz, T. Van Cuyck, J. Ryckebusch, M. Martini, Phys.Rev. C92, 026606 (2), 2015.
- [3] M. Martini, N. Jachowicz, M. Ericson, V. Pandey, T. Van Cuyck, N. Van Dessel, arXiv:1602.00230 [nucl-th].
- [4] V. Pandey, N. Jachowicz, M. Martini, T. Van Cuyck, and J. Ryckebusch, 'CRPA approach to charged-current neutrino-nucleus scatterings at MiniBooNE and T2K kinematics', to be submitted.

#### Electron-scattering data are from:

[1] P. Barreau et al., Nucl. Phys. A262, 515 (1983).
[2] J. S. O'Connell et al., Phys. Rev. C35, 1063 (1987).
[3] R. M. Sealock et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.62, 1350 (1989).
[4] D. S. Bagdasaryan et al., YERPHI-1077-26-88 (1988).
[5] D. B. Day et al., Phys. Rev. C 48, 1849 (1993).
[6] D. Zeller, DESY-F23-73-2 (1973).
[7] M. Anghinolfi et al., Nucl. Phys. A602, 265 (1996).
[8] C. F. Williamson et al., Phys. Rev. C56, 3152 (1997).
[9] J. Jourdan, Nucl. Phys. A603, 117 (1996).

#### Neutrino-scattering data are from:

[1] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., (MiniBooNE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D81, 092005 (2010).
[2] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., (MiniBooNE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D88, 032001 (2013).
[3] K. Abe et al., (T2K Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D87, 092003 (2013).
[4] K. Abe et al., (T2K Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D92, 112003 (2015).

# Back-up







omega (MeV)