

# Highlights from the electron scattering experiments at Accélérateur Linéaire de Saclay

Stephane Platchkov CEA/IRFU, Saclay, France



DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

Cez



Espace de Structure Nucléaire Théorique DSM - DAM

### Electron scattering on nuclei – a glimpse of history

◆ 1951, Illinois Betatron: E = 15.7 MeV

Lyman, Hansen and Scott, Phys. Rev. 84, 626 (1951)

◆ 1953 – mid 60's, Stanford, E = 100 – 500 MeV



R. Hofstadter, Rev. Mod. Phys. 28, 214 (1956)

◆ 1961: R. Hofstadter – Nobel prize

"for his pioneering study of electron scattering in atomic nuclei and ... ... the structure of the nucleons"

Followed by machines at Darmstadt, Mainz, Tohoku, Kharkov, Bates, Saclay

### ALS (Accélérateur Linéaire de Saclay)

• ALS:

Rate:

Peak current:

- Original idea by Christophe Tzara, 1959.
- First beam in 1970.
- Main characteristics:
  - Length: 200 m, underground tunnel
  - Energy: 150 to 700 MeV
    - Duty cycle: 1% to 2% (compare to  $10^{-3}$  or  $10^{-4}$  for older machines)
    - Pulse length:  $1 \mu s$  to 20  $\mu sec$ , usually 10  $\mu s$  or 20  $\mu s$ .
      - 500 Hz to 3000 Hz
        - up to 60 mA (at 420 MeV)
    - Mean current: up to >100  $\mu$ A, usually 20-30  $\mu$ A
  - Positron beam: up to  $0.1 \ \mu A$

High energy and duty cycle machine + high-resolution, low-bgnd detection system



#### Accelerator tunnel



### Experiments at ALS

- Electron scattering on nucleon and heavy nuclei
  - elastic (e,e)
  - inelastic (e,e')
- Coincidence experiments
  - (e,e'p) studies
- Photonuclear experiments
  - (γ,p), (γ,π)
  - (γ,pπ), etc...
- Secondary beams
  - pion beam
  - muon studies

#### This talk: a selection of (e,e), (e,e') and (e,e'p) experiments



- 1. Nuclear charge distributions
- 2. The HE1 experimental hall
- 3. Single-particle valence nucleon orbits
- 4. Few-nucleon system studies
- 5. Transition charge densities
- 6. Quasi-elastic response functions



### Electron scattering

- Main characteristics:
  - EM interaction is weak ( $\alpha = 1/137$ )
  - One photon exchange is a good approximation
  - Vary the 3-transfer q and the energy ω independently
    - Momentum transfer:  $=q_{\mu}^2 = 4ee'\sin^2(\theta/2); q_{\mu} = (\omega,q); \omega = e e'$
  - For a given  $\omega$ , map-out the Fourier transform of charge (transition) density

k"

- Same  $q_{\mu}^{2}$ : vary separately energy and scattering angle
- Distance scale : nucleus is probed with a wavelength  $\lambda \sim 1/q$ 
  - for q values of about 2-3 fm<sup>-1</sup>, probe distances smaller than 1 fm.

# Electron scattering provides a microscope to probe the spatial structure of nuclei



11>

### Electron scattering on a spin J<sub>0</sub> nucleus

• General case

Donnelly and Walecka, ARNPS, 329 (1975)

 $(\mathbf{0})$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{4\pi}{M} \sigma_{Mott} \eta^{-1} \left[ \frac{q_{\mu}^2}{q^2} S_L(q, \omega) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{q_{\mu}^2}{q^2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \frac{q_{\mu}^2}{q^2} S_T(q, \omega) \right]$$
ELASTIC

• For elastic scattering :  $(\omega=0)$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(q) = \sigma_{Mott} \eta^{-1} \left[ F_L^2(q) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) F_T^2(q) \right]$$
$$F_L^2(q) = \sum_{J=0}^{2J_0} F_{CJ}^2(q) = \frac{4\pi}{2J_0 + 1} \sum_{even J} \left| \left\langle \psi_A \right\| M_J^{Coul}(q) \left\| \psi_A \right\rangle \right|$$

• Elastic scattering on a  $J_0 = 0$  nucleus:

$$F_{ch}(q) \propto \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(r) \frac{\sin(qr)}{qr} r^2 dr$$

The charge form factor is the Fourier transform of the nuclear charge distribution

### Nuclear charge distributions



Very precise determination of the charge density down to r = 0 fm Some difference between data and mean-field theory below r = 4 fm

Irfu S. Pla

S. Platchkov

### What about the 3s proton orbit?

- Charge density difference  ${}^{206}Pb {}^{205}T1$  (or ratio  ${}^{205}T1/{}^{206}Pb$ )
  - <sup>206</sup>Pb: and <sup>205</sup>Tl: differ by one 3s proton

Cavedon et al., PRL 49, 978 (1982)



Charge density difference : mainly in the center of the nucleus The 3s difference in  $\rho(r)$  result in a large peak around  $q = 2 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ 

S. Platchkov

### What about the 3s proton orbit?

Cavedon et al., PRL 49, 978 (1982)





### Charge density distributions for doubly-closed shell nuclei



Figure 8 Charge density distributions of doubly closed-shell nuclei. The thickness of the solid line depicts the experimental uncertainty. The mean field calculations are from (53).

Overall good agreement between mean-field theory and data No specific trend as a function of A



### Electron scattering – main corrections to the raw data

- Coulomb corrections
  - Electron wave function is distorted in the field of the nucleus  $q_{eff} = q(1 + f_{\lambda} \frac{Z\alpha}{ER})$
  - Approximation: effective momentum transfer:
  - Tools: Phase shift analysis (elastic charge) or DWBA
- Dispersion corrections
  - Intermediate excitation with exchange of two photons
  - small, up to few %; in diffraction minima only
- Radiative corrections
  - Radiation of real and virtual photons before or during the interaction
  - Important (up to 25-30% for elastic scattering) -- well known



# ALS: HE1 experimental hall: (e,e') and (e,e'p)



#### Philippe Leconte



#### Jean Mougey



S. Platchkov

#### HE1 experimental room – "600" and "900" magnets



TABLE 1	
---------	--

Spectrometer characteristics

	"600"	"900"
Radius (cm) <sup>a</sup>	140	180
Bending angle <sup>a</sup>	153°	169° 42′
Gap (cm) <sup>a</sup>	8	12
Shape of pole extremities		
antrongo	Plane,	
entiance	non rotated	Curved
exit	Plane,	R = 147  cm
	rotated by 22°	
Maximum rigidity (MeV c <sup>-1</sup> )	630	900
Corresponding field (T)	15	1 67
Corresponding current (A)	565	625
Corresponding		220
electric power (kW)	100	
Field indices	$n = \frac{1}{2}\beta = \frac{3}{1}$	$n = \frac{1}{2}\beta = \frac{1}{6}$
Object distance (cm) <sup>a</sup>	70	147 °
Image distance (cm) <sup>a</sup>	140	147
Focusing	Single	Double
Focal plane angle	33° 30′	<b>39°</b> 11′
Momentum acceptance	+10%, -30%	±5%
Maximum solid angle (msr)	67	56
Dispersion (cm/%)	6 7	11 0
Momentum resolution	$4 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$

Total weight: about 1000 tons



### Detector casemate



Good shielding: mandatory for low cross-section measurements





Certaines expériences exigent que l'on soit capable de distinguer des processus qui donnent lieu à émission de particules dont la quantité de mouvement est très voisine. Seul un instrument capable de séparer des valeurs très proches permet une observation fine. Les spectromètres conçus à l'ALS ont des performances qui en font l'un des meilleurs appareillages sur le plan mondial.

La salle HE1, en particulier, possède un ensemble de deux gigantesques aimants, le tout pesant environ 1 000 tonnes, capables de distinguer l'énergie des particules au dix millième près.



Éclaté schématique de l'ensemble des deux spectromètres.

Ensemble des deux spectromètres utilisés pour la diffusion d'électrons.





### Nice atmosphere...





### "Magnetic" electron scattering

- Magnetic (on the magnetization distribution) electron scattering
  - On  $J_0 \neq 0$  nuclei

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(q) = \sigma_{Mott} \eta^{-1} \left[ F_L^2(q) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) F_T^2(q) \right]$$

- Magnetic (odd) multipoles
  - M1, M3, ... MA  $(\Lambda = 2J_0)$
  - example:  ${}^{93}Nb(1g_{9/2})$

$$F_T^2(q) = \sum_{J=0}^{2J_0} F_{MJ}^2(q) = \frac{4\pi}{2J_0 + 1} \sum_{J=0}^{2J_0} \left| \left\langle \Psi_A \right\| \hat{T}_J^M(q) \| \Psi_A \right\rangle \right|$$

- Properties of multipoles
  - MJ: peak at different q values
  - M1 M7: config. mixing
  - M9: easier to isolate

Donnelly and Walecka, Nucl. Phys. A201, 81 (1973). Donnelly and Sick, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 461 (1984).





### Valence proton and neutrons distributions

- Simplification for "stretched" spin configurations:  $J_0 = l + \frac{1}{2}$ 
  - Spin: highest one of all filled shells
  - Multipole  $\Lambda = 2J_0$ : only intrinsic magnetization
  - No conf. mixing from other nucleons



A clean way to measure the entire shape of the valence nucleons



2d

1q

### Valence neutrons and protons : <sup>87</sup>Sr and <sup>93</sup>Nb



Proton and neutron  $1g_{9/2}$  orbits have the same shape The neutron radius is slightly smaller  $(2.5\% \pm 2\%)$ : no neutron halo

S. Platchkov

### Evidence for meson-exchange currents in the deuteron



30

# The deuteron form factor $A(Q^2)$

Cross section:

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \sigma_M \left[ A(Q^2) + B(Q^2) \tan^2 \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right]$ 

Form factors (deuteron spin = 1)

$$A(Q^{2}) = F_{C}^{2}(Q^{2}) + \frac{8}{9}\tau^{2}F_{Q}^{2}(Q^{2}) + \frac{2}{3}\tau F_{M}^{2}(Q^{2})$$

- Dependent on:
  - N-N potential
  - Neutron FF
  - Meson-exchange currents, Rel effects

Allows a model-dependent determination of the neutron electric form factor





### The neutron electric form factor, G<sub>E</sub><sup>n</sup>



### Three-nucleon system: <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>H

Juster et al., PRL 55, 461 (1985)

- ◆ Saclay tritium target (1985):
  - Sealed target, P = 3 bars, cooled by liquid hydrogen at 20 K
  - Safety: 4 containers with many sensors, two independent computers
  - Activity: 10 kCi (3.7x10<sup>14</sup> Bq)
  - Target length: 5 cm





### Three-nucleon system – form factor measurements

Juster et al., PRL 55, 461 (1985)

Amroun et al., PRL 69, 253 (1992)

Amroun et al., Nucl. Phys. A579, 596 (1994)

Cavedon et al. PRL 49, 986 (1982)



Meson-exchange currents are mandatory for a good description, particularly for magnetic form factors Three-body forces have a minor effect



# Configuration mixing in <sup>70,72,74,76</sup>Ge isotopes







eA ESNT workshop 25-27/4

# Configuration mixing in Ge isotopes – exp / th



FIG. 3. Comparison between the predicted (thin line) and experimental (thick line) transition densities.



### Inelastic (e,e') scattering from <sup>152</sup>Sm

Transition charge densities for 0<sup>+</sup>, 2<sup>+</sup>, 4<sup>+</sup>, 6<sup>+</sup>

Phan et al., PR C38, 1173 (1988)



Comparison with a triaxial DD HFB calculation



# Inelastic (e,e') scattering from <sup>152</sup>Sm

- Comparison with triaxial mean-field HFB calculation
  - two versions of the D1 Gogny force







### "Quasi-elastic" electron scattering



- scattering from quasi-"free" nucleons inside the nucleus
- probe the nucleon momentum distribution

Are nucleon properties modified in nuclear medium?





### Longitudinal response functions in (e,e'): <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>40</sup>Ca





Nuclear matter effect: significant suppression (up to 30%)



### Coincidence experiments (e,e'p)

- Kinematics coincidence experiment:
  - initial proton momentum
  - proton removal energy

 $\vec{p} = -\vec{p}_B = \vec{e}' + \vec{p}' - \vec{e}$  $\vec{E} = e - e' - T' - p^2 / 2M_{A-1}$ 



- Information on:
  - nuclear spectral function : momentum and energy distributions
  - probe individual shells; access also to deeply-bound states





# Examples of (e,e'p) experiments

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Access to: single-particle energy and momentum distributions, occupation probabilities, bound nucleon properties

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Bound proton form factor measurements

- Question: are nucleon properties modified in the nuclear medium?  $d^{6}\sigma \qquad k \left( \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right) = C(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{r})$ 
  - meanum? • in PWIA:  $\sigma(e,e'p) = \frac{d^6\sigma}{de'd\Omega_{e'}dp'd\Omega_{p'}} = K\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_p S(\vec{p},E)$
- Goal:
  - study bound  $\sigma_{ep}$  q-dependence
  - separate L and T contributions
  - compare to DWBA calculations

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

No modification of the bound proton FF L-response quenching  $R \sim 65\%$ 

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Summary: (my) extrapolations from ALS to ETIC ?

#### Electron machine

- Beam energy: between 100 and ~500 MeV
- Electron currents: >> 100 mA
- Duty cycle: >1% OK; coincidence: 100%
- Luminosity: higher  $\mathcal{L} \Rightarrow | arger q$ , access to more processes...

#### Detection system

- Resolution: 100 keV or better
- Angular range: high enough  $(25^{\circ} 155^{\circ}?)$
- Background : low, mandatory if low cross section measurements

#### Coincidence experiments?

- Large energy and momentum acceptances
- Good energy and momentum resolutions

![](_page_38_Picture_13.jpeg)

### References

- R. Hofstadter, Rev. Mod. Phys. 28, 214 (1956).
- T. W. Donnely and J. D. Walecka, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 25, 329 (1975).
- T. W. Donnely and I. Sick, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 461 (1984).
- S. Frullani and J. Mougey, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 14, 1 (1984)
- B. Frois and C. Papanicolas, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 37, 133 (1987).
- D. Drechsel and M. Giannini, Rep. Prog. Phys. 52, 1083 (1989).
- B. Frois and I. Sick editors, Modern Topics in Electron Scattering (World Sci.), 1991.
- J. D. Walecka, Electron scattering for nuclear and nucleon structure, Cambridge, 2001.

![](_page_39_Picture_9.jpeg)