Near closed-shell nuclei from equation-of-motion coupled-cluster theory

Gustav R. Jansen

University of Tennessee, Knoxville

ORNL



ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle for the US Department of Energy

Outline

- Hamiltonian
- Three-nucleon forces
- Coupled-cluster summary
- Examples from spherically coupled EOM-CCSD



The nuclear Schrödinger equation

$H\Psi = E\Psi$









Basis size





Hamiltonian



The interaction



Three-nucleon forces are crucial!



Chiral effective field theory





Computing the interaction



- Not possible to do "on the fly".
- Three-nucleon forces takes weeks to transform to single particle coordinates.

The interaction elements have to be stored in memory!



Memory usage





Memory usage





Spherically coupled scheme



Expose invariant subspaces labelled by the total angular momentum



Transformation of a twobody scalar operator

 $\langle ab; j_a m_a j_b m_b | X | cd; j_c m_c j_d m_d \rangle =$

$$\sum_{JM} C^{j_a j_b J}_{m_a m_b M} C^{j_c j_d J}_{m_c m_d M} \langle ab; j_a j_b J \big| |X| \big| cd; j_c j_d J \rangle$$

 $\langle ab; j_a j_b J | |X| | cd; j_c j_d J \rangle =$

$$\frac{1}{2J+1} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c m_d M} C^{j_a j_b J}_{m_a m_b M} C^{j_c j_d J}_{m_c m_d M} \langle ab; j_a m_a j_b m_b | X | cd; j_c m_c j_d m_d \rangle$$

1. Different single particle spaces.

2. Matrix elements are independent of projections.



Single particle states





Memory usage





Memory usage





Three nucleons forces

Hartree-Fock with full three-nucleon force

- Current limit: Nmax=14, E3max=18
 - ~10 TB total memory
 - Titan : 10-20% for 1 hour
 - Need larger modelspaces beyond ${}^{52}Ca$
- Normal-ordered twobody approximation (NO2B)
 - Keep only contributions to:
 - Vacuum energy
 - Onebody operator
 - Twobody operator
- Residual three-nucleon force with $T_3^{(1)}$ (MBPT2).
 - 1 % effect (0.1 MeV per Nucleon)



Pros and cons

Pros

- Preserve symmetries.
- Dramatic reduction in memory usage.
- Dramatic reduction in computational cost.

Cons

- Complicated algebra.
- Every diagram is coupled differently.
- Antisymmetry is non-trivial.
- Lots of opportunities for bugs.
- Limited set of nuclei are accessible.



NUCCOR coverage





Closed (sub-)shell nuclei





Coupled-cluster summary

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= e^{T} |\Phi_{0}\rangle \\ T &= 1 + T_{h}^{p} + T_{2h}^{2p} + T_{3h}^{3p} + \cdots \\ \overline{H} &= e^{-T} H e^{T} \end{split}$$



NUCCOR coverage (PA/PR)





One particle attached or removed





PAPR-EOM operators







23 Saclay April 2.

Excited states in ²⁵F



0.73

0.72

0.73

0.26

0.25

0.27

0.01

0.03

0.00

Zs. Vajta *et al.* Phys. Rev. C 89, 054323 (2014)

- Assumed ²⁴**0** with a proton • attached.
- Ground state and first excited states have significant 2p1h component.
- Collection of states with significant 3p2h components. 4p3h amplitudes are
- necessary.
- Already at computational limit with 3p2h amplitudes.



NUCCOR coverage (2PA/2PR)





Two particles attached or removed





2PA/2PR-EOM operators

$$\overline{H} = e^{-T}He^{T}$$

$$R^{A+2} = R^{2p} + R_{h}^{3p} + R_{2h}^{4p} + R_{3h}^{5p} + \cdots$$

$$R^{A-2} = R_{2h} + R_{3h}^{p} + R_{4h}^{2p} + R_{5h}^{3p} + \cdots$$



Stational Laboratory

Strategy (j-scheme)

- 1. Define transformations between m-scheme and jscheme elements/amplitudes.
- 2. Take the original equations and replace mscheme elements/amplitudes with the transformations from 1.
- 3. Eliminate projections (m's) by finding the correct Wigner coefficients



3p1h (R_3) transformations



$$\begin{array}{c} J_{ab}M_{ab} \\ \times C^{j_a j_b M_{ab}}_{m_a m_b M_{ab}} C^{J_{ab} j_c J_{abc}}_{M_{ab} m_c M_{abc}} C^{J j_i J_{abc}}_{M m_i M_{abc}}. \end{array}$$

$$(J_{abc}, J_{ab}) = \frac{1}{\hat{J}_{abc}^2} \sum_{\substack{MM_{abc}M_{ab}\\m_am_bm_cm_i}} r_i^{abc} C_{m_am_bM_{ab}}^{Jabc} C_{m_am_bM_{ab}}^{JijJabc}$$

 $\times C^{Jab Jc Sabc}_{M_{ab}m_c M_{abc}} C^{Jj Sabc}_{Mm_i M_{abc}}.$



Example diagram (2PA-EOMCCSD)



GRJ Phys. Rev. C 88, 024305 (2013

 $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(ab,c)\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{ei}^{mc}r_m^{abe}$

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}(ab,c) \sum_{J_{abe},J_{mc}} (-1)^{1+j_e+j_m+J_{abe}+J_{abc}+J_{mc}} \hat{J}^2_{abe} \hat{J}^2_{mc} \begin{cases} J_{ab} & j_e & J_{abe} \\ j_c & J_{mc} & j_m \\ J_{abc} & j_i & J \end{cases}$$

 $\times \mathcal{H}_{ei}^{mc}(J_{mc})r_m^{abe}(J_{ab}, J_{abe}, J)$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{P}}(ab,c) &= \hat{1} + \sum_{J_{cb}} \hat{J}_{cb} \hat{J}_{ab} \begin{cases} j_c & j_b & J_{cb} \\ j_a & J_{abc} & J_{ab} \end{cases} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{a,c} - \\ &\sum_{J_{ac}} (-1)^{j_b + j_c - J_{ab} + J_{ac}} \hat{J}_{ab} \hat{J}_{ac} \times \begin{cases} j_c & j_a & J_{ac} \\ j_b & J_{abc} & J_{ab} \end{cases} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{b,c} \end{split}$$



2PA EOM-CCSD amplitudes





Active space (preliminary)



CAK RIDGE

Computing nuclei with A+2: Example Fluorine-26

GRJ et al. PRC 2011, GRJ PRC 2013, J. Shen and P. Piecuch J. Chem. Phys (2013)



Experimental spectra in ²⁶F compared with phenomenological USD shell-model calculations and coupled-cluster calculations.



A. Lepailleur et al (2012)

Benchmark in ⁶He

GRJ, M. Hjorth-Jensen, G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock Phys. Rev. C 83, 054306, 2011



- Uncoupled scheme
- Tiny modelspace
- Good agreement between FCI and 2PA-EOMCCSD with 3p1h amplitudes.



Challenges

- Three-nucleon forces in HF, CC and EOM-CC.
 - Residual three-nucleon forces contribute > 1%
 - Needs to be included in CC.
- Additional correlations in EOM-CC.
 - Not possible to include the full set of amplitudes.
 - Active spaces?
- Larger modelspaces (three-nucleon force).
 - Nmax=14, E3max=18 not enough
 - Quickly saturates the available computational resources.



Questions?

Gustav R. Jansen Gustav.Jansen@utk.edu





This work was partly supported by the Office of Nuclear Physics, U.S. Department of Energy (Oak Ridge National Laboratory), under Contracts No. DE-FG02-96ER40963 (University of Tennessee) and No.DE-SC0008499 (NUCLEI SciDAC-3 Collaboration), and the Field Work Proposal ERKBP57 at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

An award of computer time was provided by the Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment (INCITE) program. This research used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility located in the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is supported by the Office of Science of the Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 and used computational resources of the National Center for Computational Sciences, the National Institute for Computational Sciences, and the Notur project in Norway.

