# Bogoliubov Coupled Cluster Theory for the Attractive Pairing Hamiltonian

Thomas M. Henderson

Department of Chemistry and Department of Physics and Astronomy Rice University

April 1, 2015



# Outline

1. Seniority and Strong Correlation

2. Theory

Pair Coupled Cluster Doubles

The Pairing Hamiltonian

BCS

Quasiparticle Pair Coupled Cluster Doubles

- 3. Results
- 4. Properties
- 5. Extended Coupled Cluster
- 6. Conclusions

• The seniority  $\Omega$  is the number of singly occupied spatial orbitals.

• The seniority  $\Omega$  is the number of singly occupied spatial orbitals.



- The seniority  $\Omega$  is the number of singly occupied spatial orbitals.
- The  $\Omega = 0$  CI often provides a reasonable description of strongly correlated systems.

- The seniority  $\Omega$  is the number of singly occupied spatial orbitals.
- The  $\Omega = 0$  CI often provides a reasonable description of strongly correlated systems.



• Results of  $\Omega = 0$  CI are not invariant to occupied-occupied and virtual-virtual rotation.

• Results of  $\Omega = 0$  CI are not invariant to occupied-occupied and virtual-virtual rotation.



• Results of  $\Omega = 0$  CI are not invariant to occupied-occupied and virtual-virtual rotation.



- Results of  $\Omega = 0$  CI are not invariant to occupied-occupied and virtual-virtual rotation.
- Sometimes  $\Omega = 0$  is not enough to describe strong correlations.

- Results of  $\Omega = 0$  CI are not invariant to occupied-occupied and virtual-virtual rotation.
- Sometimes  $\Omega = 0$  is not enough to describe strong correlations.



- Results of  $\Omega = 0$  CI are not invariant to occupied-occupied and virtual-virtual rotation.
- Sometimes  $\Omega = 0$  is not enough to describe strong correlations.
- The cost of  $\Omega = 0$  CI is too high for routine application.

# **Pair Coupled Cluster Doubles**

- Pair CCD (*i.e.*  $\Omega = 0$  CCD) with a suitable reference determinant and pairing scheme is surprisingly close to  $\Omega = 0$  CI.
- The cost of p-CCD for a given set of orbitals and pairing scheme is  $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ .
- Therefore,
  - If the reference determinant and pairing scheme can be readily obtained, and
  - If the p-CCD is close to  $\Omega = 0$  CI, and
  - If the  $\Omega = 0$  CI properly describes strong correlation,
  - Then p-CCD offers a cheap but accurate description of strong correlations (at least energetically).

# The Pairing Hamiltonian

The pairing Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum \epsilon_p N_p - G \sum P_p^{\dagger} P_q$$

where

$$\begin{split} N_p &= c^{\dagger}_{p_{\uparrow}} \, c_{p_{\uparrow}} + c^{\dagger}_{p_{\downarrow}} \, c_{p_{\downarrow}}, \\ P^{\dagger}_p &= c^{\dagger}_{p_{\uparrow}} \, c^{\dagger}_{p_{\downarrow}}. \end{split}$$

- Originally designed to describe the Cooper problem of an electron pair interacting with a hole pair in the Fermi sea.
- The weakly attractive pairing Hamiltonian mimics the physics of the molecular Hamiltonian.
- The Hamiltonian has an intrinsic pairing scheme and seniority is a symmetry with a good quantum number.
- $\Omega = 0$  CI is thus exact...
- ... but not necessary, because the Hamiltonian is exactly solvable.

### p-CCD for the Pairing Hamiltonian



Щ

## p-CCD for the Pairing Hamiltonian



Щ

#### p-CCD for the Pairing Hamiltonian



#### A Few Words on BCS

• Define quasiparticle operators by the unitary transformation

$$c_{p_{\uparrow}}^{\dagger} = u_p \, \alpha_{p_{\uparrow}}^{\dagger} + v_p \, \alpha_{p_{\downarrow}}$$
$$c_{p_{\downarrow}}^{\dagger} = u_p \, \alpha_{p_{\downarrow}}^{\dagger} - v_p \, \alpha_{p_{\uparrow}}.$$

- Write a quasiparticle vacuum  $|0\rangle = \prod \alpha_{p_{\uparrow}} \alpha_{p_{\downarrow}} |-\rangle$ .
- Minimize the energy with respect to *u<sub>p</sub>* and *v<sub>p</sub>*.
- The quasiparticle vacuum may break number symmetry.
- Include a chemical potential to enforce that we have the right particle number on average.
- Hartree-Fock is a special limit ( $v_{occ} = 1, u_{vrt} = 1$ ).

For the pairing Hamiltonian, we have

$$0 = 2 \mathcal{F}_p u_p v_p - \Delta \left( u_p^2 - v_p^2 \right)$$
$$\mathcal{F}_p = \epsilon_p - \lambda - G v_p^2$$
$$\Delta = G \sum u_p v_p$$
$$\langle N \rangle = 2 \sum v_p^2.$$

### Number and Spin Symmetry Breaking

Let me define

$$E_{\rm cs}[\mathbf{P}] = 2 \sum \langle i|h|j\rangle P_{ji} + \sum \left(2 \langle ij|v|kl\rangle - \langle ij|v|lk\rangle\right) P_{ki} P_{lj}.$$

UHF:

$$E = E_{cs}[\mathbf{P}] - \sum \langle ij|v|kl \rangle M_{li} M_{kj},$$
  
$$\mathbf{M}^{2} = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}^{2},$$
  
$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}$$

RHFB:

$$E = E_{cs}[\mathbf{P}] + \sum \langle ij|v|kl \rangle K_{ij} K_{kl},$$
  

$$\mathbf{K}^2 = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}^2,$$
  

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{K} \mathbf{P}.$$

# Number and Spin Symmetry Breaking

Notes:

- For real orbitals, the energy expressions are the same except for the sign on the static correlation piece.
- RHFB reduces to RHF for repulsive interactions because the static correlation piece is non-negative and the minimum of the closed shell energy occurs at RHF.
- UHF reduces to RHF for attractive interactions because the static correlation piece is non-negative and the minimum of the closed shell energy occurs at RHF.
- That is, number symmetry breaking is to attractive interactions as spin symmetry breaking is to repulsive interactions.

#### Pair Coupled Cluster Doubles, Revisited

Let's start with the HF case:

• Write the wave function as  $e^T |0\rangle$  where the cluster operator is

$$T = \sum_{ia} T^a_i P^{\dagger}_a P^{\dagger}_a$$
$$P^{\dagger}_p = c^{\dagger}_{p\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{p\downarrow}.$$

• Insert the CC wave function into the Schrödinger equation to get the working equations:

$$E = \langle 0 | \mathbf{e}^{-T} H \mathbf{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle$$
$$0 = \langle 0 | P_{i}^{\dagger} P_{a} \mathbf{e}^{-T} H \mathbf{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle$$

## Pair Quasiparticle CCD

The extension to the BCS case is straightforward:

• Write the wave function as  $e^T |0\rangle$  where the cluster operator is

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pq} T_{pq} \mathcal{P}_p^{\dagger} \mathcal{P}_q^{\dagger}$$
$$\mathcal{P}_p^{\dagger} = \alpha_{p_{\uparrow}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{p_{\downarrow}}^{\dagger}.$$

• Insert the CC wave function into the Schrödinger equation to get the working equations:

$$E = \langle 0 | \mathbf{e}^{-T} H \mathbf{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle,$$
  
$$0 = \langle 0 | \mathcal{P}_{p} \mathcal{P}_{q} \mathbf{e}^{-T} H \mathbf{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle$$

NB: In the Hartree-Fock limit, we get

$$T = \sum_{ia} T_i^a P_a^{\dagger} P_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} T_{ij} P_i P_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} T_{ab} P_a^{\dagger} P_b^{\dagger}.$$

# **Brueckner Coupled Cluster**

- Brueckner orbitals just adjust the reference determinant so that  $T_1 = 0$ .
- In the pairing Hamiltonian with a Hartree-Fock reference,  $T_1 = 0$  by seniority symmetry.
- Once number symmetry is broken, this is not the case, so we add

$$0 = \langle 0 | \mathcal{P}_p \operatorname{e}^{-T_2} H \operatorname{e}^{T_2} | 0 \rangle.$$

and adjust u and v to satisfy this.

# **Brueckner Coupled Cluster**

- Brueckner orbitals just adjust the reference determinant so that  $T_1 = 0$ .
- In the pairing Hamiltonian with a Hartree-Fock reference,  $T_1 = 0$  by seniority symmetry.
- Once number symmetry is broken, this is not the case, so we add

$$0 = \langle 0 | \mathcal{P}_p \operatorname{e}^{-T_2} H \operatorname{e}^{T_2} | 0 \rangle.$$

and adjust u and v to satisfy this.

$$0 = 2 \mathcal{F}_p u_p v_p - \Delta \left( u_p^2 - v_p^2 \right)$$
$$\mathcal{F}_p = \epsilon_p - \lambda - G v_p^2$$
$$\Delta = G \sum u_p v_p$$
$$\langle N \rangle = 2 \sum v_p^2.$$

## **Brueckner Coupled Cluster**

- Brueckner orbitals just adjust the reference determinant so that  $T_1 = 0$ .
- In the pairing Hamiltonian with a Hartree-Fock reference,  $T_1 = 0$  by seniority symmetry.
- Once number symmetry is broken, this is not the case, so we add

$$0 = \langle 0 | \mathcal{P}_p \operatorname{e}^{-T_2} H \operatorname{e}^{T_2} | 0 \rangle.$$

and adjust u and v to satisfy this.

$$0 = 2 \bar{\mathcal{F}}_p u_p v_p - \Delta \left( u_p^2 - v_p^2 \right) + c_p$$
$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_p = \epsilon_p - \lambda - G v_p^2 + G \sum_q \left( u_q^2 - v_q^2 \right) T_{pq}$$
$$c_p = \sum_q T_{pq} \left[ 2 \mathcal{F}_q u_q v_q - \Delta \left( u_q^2 - v_q^2 \right) \right]$$

#### Attractive Pairing; N = L = 100



#### **Dependence on Number of Levels**



### **Dependence on Filling Fraction**



 $\rm E_c$  /  $\rm E_c^{exact}$ 

## **Putting it Together**



Щ

- Properties can be evaluated as energy derivatives.
- As presented, we would need to know the derivatives of the amplitudes *T*<sub>pq</sub>.
- As in standard CC, we can avoid this by introducing a Lagrangian.

Write a Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle 0 | (1+Z) \, \mathrm{e}^{-T} \, H \, \mathrm{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle$$

in terms of the operator

$$Z=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{pq}Z_{pq}\,\mathcal{P}_p\,\mathcal{P}_q.$$

- Note that for any  $Z_{pq}$ , we have  $\mathcal{L} = E$  provided we satisfy the CC equations.
- In other words, we see that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial Z_{pq}} = 0$$

is the amplitude equation.

• To select amplitudes *Z*<sub>pq</sub>, we impose

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial T_{pq}} = 0.$$

• Then the Lagrangian is stationary with respect to *T* and *Z*, so we do not need their derivatives.

Explicitly, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = \langle 0 | (1+Z) \, \mathrm{e}^{-T} \, \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \, \mathrm{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle + \sum_{p} \frac{\partial E_{c}}{\partial \theta_{p}} \, \frac{\partial \theta_{p}}{\partial x}$$

where I write the BCS amplitudes as

$$u_p = \cos(\theta_p),$$
  
 $v_p = \sin(\theta_p)$ 

The second term is because while the BCS energy is stationary with respect to BCS amplitudes, the correlated energy is not!

We can get  $\frac{\partial \theta_p}{\partial x}$  by differentiating the BCS amplitude equations:

Important: This term can be pretty large.

• The BCS equations are

$$h_p^{2,0}[\theta(x)] + x V_p^{2,0}[\theta(x)] = 0$$

where V is the pertubation.

• The derivative with respect to x at x = 0 is just

$$\frac{\partial h_p^{2,0}}{\partial \theta_q} \, \frac{\partial \theta_q}{\partial x} + V_p^{2,0} = 0.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial h_p^{2,0}}{\partial \theta_q} = \frac{\partial^2 E_{\rm BCS}}{\partial \theta_p \, \partial \theta_q}$$

is the BCS quasiparticle Hessian  $\mathcal{M}_{pq}$ .

• Thus, we evaluate properties as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} = \langle 0 | (1+Z) \, \mathbf{e}^{-T} \, V \, \mathbf{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle - \mathbf{W}^{\dagger} \, \mathbf{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \, \mathbf{V}^{2,0}$$

where **W** is the orbital gradient of the correlation energy.

### **Occupation Numbers**



**Attractive Pairing;** N = L = 100



#### Attractive Pairing; N = L = 100



## The Superconducting Gap

We can generalize the superconducting gap to



## **Beyond Traditional Coupled Cluster**

• We've seen the CC Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle 0 | (1+Z) \, \mathbf{e}^{-T} \, H \, \mathbf{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle.$$

- Next obvious step: more sophisticated left-hand state.
- Extended coupled cluster uses

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle 0 | \mathbf{e}^Z \, \mathbf{e}^{-T} \, H \, \mathbf{e}^T | 0 \rangle.$$

- For typical problems, this is much more expensive than traditional coupled cluster.
- *Pair* extended coupled cluster not too expensive  $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ .

## Quick Overview of Pair Extended Coupled Cluster

• Write a cluster operator

$$T = \sum_{ia} t_i^a P_a^\dagger P_i.$$

• Write a de-excitation operator

$$Z = \sum_{ia} z_a^i P_i^\dagger P_a$$

Write a Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle 0 | \mathbf{e}^{Z} \, \mathbf{e}^{-T} H \, \mathbf{e}^{T} | 0 \rangle.$$

• Make the Lagrangian stationary with respect to  $t_i^a$  and  $z_a^i$ .

#### Attractive Pairing; N = L = 100



#### **Attractive Pairing;** N = L = 100



### **Bogoliubov Pair Extended Coupled Cluster**

• Same basic idea, we

$$T = rac{1}{2} \sum_{pq} T_{pq} \, \mathcal{P}_p^{\dagger} \, \mathcal{P}_q^{\dagger},$$
  
 $Z = rac{1}{2} \sum_{pq} Z_{pq} \, \mathcal{P}_p \, \mathcal{P}_q.$ 

- Computational scaling rises to  $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$ .
- Efficient  $\mathcal{O}(N^4)$  code not finished....
- Inefficient  $\mathcal{O}(N^6)$  code done.

**Attractive Pairing;** N = L = 20



Attractive Pairing; N = L = 20



# Conclusions

- The p-CCD  $\sim \Omega = 0$  CI holds even for fairly strongly repulsive pairing Hamiltonians.
- For strongly attractive pairing Hamiltonians, BCS-CCD works fairly well.
- The Brueckner mean-field of the BCS-CCD is trying to fix number symmetry breaking.
  - In doing so, the Brueckner is also making things worse near G<sub>c</sub>.
  - For intermediate *G*, the Brueckner makes things better.
  - For large *G*, the Brueckner makes no real difference.
- For small systems, PBCS = AGP works very well, but it breaks down for larger *N*.
- In fact, for very large *N*, AGP = BCS.
- Pair quasiparticle CCD works across the whole range of *G* and *N*.
- Pair extended CCD seems to work pretty well for *G* not too large.
- Pair quasiparticle extended CCD does not seem to work...
- But with orbital optimization, pair quasiparticle extended CCD may be a very good method indeed.

# Acknowledgments

Collaborators:

- Jorge Dukelsky (Instituto de Estructura de la Materia; Madrid)
- Gustavo Scuseria (Rice University)
- Thomas Duguet (Service de Physique Nucléaire; Centre de Saclay; Paris)
- Angelo Signoracci (Service de Physique Nucléaire; Centre de Saclay; Paris)

Calculations:

Carlos Jiménez-Hoyos

Funding:

- Department of Energy
- Welch Foundation

## Some Useful References

Pairing Hamiltonian Exact Solutions:

- R. W. Richardson, Phys. Lett. 3, 277 (1963).
- R. W. Richardson, Phys. Rev. 141, 949 (1966).

Pair Coupled Cluster:

- P. A. Limacher, P. W. Ayers, P. A. Johnson, S. de Baerdemacker, D. van Neck, and P. Bultinck, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9, 1394 (2013).
- TMH, G. E. Scuseria, J. Dukelsky, A. Signoracci, and T. Duguet, Phys. Rev. C 89, 054305 (2014).
- TMH, I. W. Bulik, and G. E. Scuseria, arXiv:1503.04878.