Sous-détermination, incomplétude, incommensurabilité : la pensée des limites

Reasoning, argumentation, and science

Hugo Mercier University of Neuchâtel & CNRS

Two types of inferences

Intuitive inferences (intuition)



It's going to rain





It needs food and water



Peter is 8, John is 12 John is older than Peter

Two types of inferences

Reflective inferences (reasoning)

The pressure and the temperature are dropping

It's going to rain



These are purple sulfur bacteria

They need hydrogen sulfide

The classical view of reasoning





The Levesque task

Peter is looking at Linda Linda is looking at Henry

Peter is married Henry is not married

Is someone who is married looking at someone who is not married?

Yes No We can't tell

An intuitive mistake

Peter is looking at Linda Linda is looking at Henry

Peter is married Henry is not married

Is someone who is married looking at someone who is not married?

Yes No



Reasoning saves the day

Peter is looking at Linda Linda is looking at Henry

Peter is married Henry is not married

Is someone who is married looking at someone who is not married?

Yes No We can't tell

Reasoning can help the lone reasoner correct mistaken intuitions and arrive at better beliefs

The Levesque task

Peter is looking at Linda Linda is looking at Henry

Peter is married

Henry is not married

Is someone who is married looking at someone who is not manied? Yes No We can't tell Reasoning can help the lone reasoner correct mistaken intuitions and arrive at better beliefs

But it often doesn't

What reasoning actually does



Reasoning can help the lone reasoner correct mistaken intuitions and arrive at better beliefs

But it often doesn't

Because it does precisely the opposite

The classical view of reasoning



The argumentative view of reasoning



Prediction 1

Myside bias

Prediction 2

Selective laziness

Making the best of feedback

- Sylvia: "We should go to Isami, it's a good restaurant"
- Helen: "I don't know, I've had Japanese last week already"
- Sylvia: "But this one is very original"

Making the best of feedback

Sylvia: "We should go to Isami, it's a good restaurant"

Helen: "I don't know, I don't have much money at the moment, and Japanese restaurants can be pricy"

Sylvia: "But this one is quite cheap"

Other option: exhaustive argument

Sylvia: "We should go to Isami, it's original, the prices are good, the fish is fresh, the crowd is lively... "

Other option: anticipation

Sylvia, thinking: I wonder if Helen has been to a Japanese restaurant lately. And would she be bothered by high prices? Does she eat raw fish? Does she enjoy the kind of crowd you get in typical Japanese restaurants? Would she believe it's in an inconvenient location?...

Prediction in production

People should typically start with a reasonable but weak, generic argument

Prediction in evaluation

People should carefully examine other people's arguments

First phase

In a fruit and vegetable shop which carries, among other products, apples:

None of the apples are organic.

What can you conclude for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop ?

All the fruits are organic

None of the fruits are organic

Some fruits are organic

Some fruits are not organic

We cannot tell anything for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop

"Because none of the apples are organic, and an apple is one type of fruit, we can say that some of the fruits in the store are not organic." In a fruit and vegetable shop which carries, among other products, apples:

None of the apples are organic.

What can you conclude for sure about whether

fruits are organic in this shop

All the fruits are organic None of the fruit are organic Some fruits are organic Some fruits are not organic We cannot all the thing for sure about bether fruits are organic in this hop

"Because none of the apples are organic, and an apple is one type of fruit, we can say that some of the fruits in the store are not organic." Second phase

You answered You answered Some fruits are not organic You some fruits are not organic Malt he fruits are organic Some fruits are not organic We cannot tell anything for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop

Someone else answered

We cannot tell anything for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop

And the argument was:

"There is not enough information to conclude about all the fruits in this shop."



about all the fruits in this shop."

In a fruit and vegetable shop which carries, among other products, apples:

None of the apples are organic.

What can you conclude for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop ?

All the fruits are organic

None of the fruits are organic

Some fruits are organic

Some fruits are not organic

We cannot tell anything for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop

We cannot tell anything for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop

Someone else answered

You answered

Some fruits are not organic

And the argument was:

"Because none of the apples are organic, and an apple is one type of fruit, we can say that some of the fruits in the store are not organic."

In a fruit and vegetable shop which carries, among other products, apples:

None of the apples are organic.

What can you conclude for sure about whether fruits are organic in this shop?

All the fruits are organic

None of the fruits are organic

Some fruits are organic

Some fruits are not organic

are organic

We cannot tell sything for sure about whether fruits s shop

You answered

Someone else swered

Some And the argument cas:

"Because none of the apples are organic, and an apple HERREARGUMENE an say that some of the fruits in the store are not organic."

47% do not detect the inversion

57% reject their own argument

41% reject their own 'good' argument

63% reject their own 'bad' argument

Prediction 3

Overconfidence

What reasoning actually does



Overconfidence in individual reasoning



Prediction 4

Good argument evaluation skills





Prediction 5

Groups outperform individuals on reasoning tasks

Individual vs. group



Individual vs. group



Diffusion in large groups (N=40)





% correct answers (conservation task)



Summary

Individual reasoning often achieves poor epistemic outcomes

People can evaluate others' arguments and be convinced by strong arguments

As a result, groups outperform individuals in reasoning tasks

